

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

ARB-339-2023
Date of decision:-29.02.2024

M/s Voyants Solution Pvt. Ltd.

...Petitioner

Versus

Dakshin Haryana Bijli Vitran Nigam Ltd.

...Respondent

CORAM : HON’BLE MR. JUSTICE SUVIR SEHGAL

Present : Mr.Pallav Palit, Advocate with
Mr.Vivek Sheoran, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr.Deepak Balyan, Advocate with
Mr.Vicky Chauhan, Advocate
for the respondent.

SUVIR SEHGAL, J.(ORAL)

1. By way of instant petition filed under Section 11 of the Arbitration and Conciliation Act, 1996 (for short “the Act”), petitioner has approached this Court for appointment of a sole Arbitrator to adjudicate the dispute between the parties, which have arisen out of work order dated 20.03.2020, Annexure P7.
2. Upon notice, short reply has been filed on behalf of the respondent wherein the work order as well as the arbitration clause have been admitted. The sole objection taken by the respondent is that the

name proposed by the petitioner is not acceptable by it.

3. As there is no dispute between the parties regarding arbitability of the dispute, the prayer made in the petition deserves to be accepted.

4. Petition is allowed. Mr.Justice Rajiv Narain Raina (Retd.), a former Judge of this Court, r/o H.No. E/8-03 (GF), DLF-The Valley (Near Amravati Enclave), Pinjore - Kalka Urban Complex, Sector-3, Panchkula-134107, M: 7837049207, is requested to act as the sole Arbitrator to adjudicate the dispute between the parties.

5. Parties are directed to appear before the learned Arbitrator on the date, time and place to be fixed and communicated by the learned Arbitrator at his convenience.

6. A request letter be send to Mr.Justice Rajiv Narain Raina (Retd.) alongwith a copy of the order.

(SUVIR SEHGAL)
JUDGE

29.02.2024

Brij

Whether reasoned/speaking : Yes/No

Whether reportable : Yes/No