



IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

CRM-M-35862-2024
Date of Decision : 15.10.2024

HARPREET SINGH AND OTHERS
.....Petitioner(s)
VERSUS
STATE OF PUNJAB
.....Respondent(s)

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE KULDEEP TIWARI

Present : Mr. N.K.Verma, Advocate,
for the petitioners no.2 and 3.

Petition *qua* petitioner no.1 already withdrawn.

Mr. Sahil R. Bakshi, AAG, Punjab.

KULDEEP TIWARI, J.(Oral)

1. On 06.08.2024, this Court had passed the hereinafter
extracted order, upon the instant petition:-

- “CRM-M-35862-2024
4. Through the instant petition, as instituted under Section 482 of the B.N.S.S., 2023, the petitioners seek the concession of anticipatory bail, in case FIR No.62 dated 02.07.2024, under Sections 323, 324, 326, 452, 506, 34 of the IPC, registered at P.S. Badali Ala Singh, Fatehgarh Sahib.
5. At the outset itself, the learned counsel for the petitioner submits that, considering the nature of allegations levelled against the petitioner No.1, he may be granted leave to withdraw the instant petition *qua* him.
6. Leave granted.
7. Consequently, the instant petition is dismissed as withdrawn *qua* petitioner No.1.
8. Insofar as petitioners No.2 and 3 are concerned, the learned counsel for the petitioners submits that, although their names find a mention in the present FIR, however, they have been falsely implicated. In fact, the complainant has suffered only one injury, which has been attributed to the petitioner No.1.
9. Notice of motion for 15.10.2024.
10. Mr. Pardeep Bajaj, D.A.G., Punjab, accepts notice on behalf of respondent-State of Punjab.
11. In the meantime, the petitioners No.2 and 3 are directed to join the investigation and to appear before the investigating agency,

as and when called upon to do so. In the event of their arrest, they shall be admitted to interim bail on their furnishing bail bonds to the satisfaction of the Arresting/Investigating Officer. The petitioners shall abide by the terms and conditions as envisaged under Section 438(2) Cr.P.C.”

2. Today, the learned State counsel has, on instructions imparted to him by the police official concerned, stated that pursuant to the making of the hereinabove extracted order, the petitioners no.2 and 3, have joined investigation and they are no longer required for custodial interrogation.

3. In view of the above, the hereinabove extracted interim order dated 06.08.2024, is hereby made **absolute**, subject to the hereinafter extracted conditions:-

“(i) the said petitioner(s) shall not commit an offence similar to the present offence;

(ii) the said petitioner(s) shall not directly or indirectly make any inducement, threat or promise to any person acquainted with the facts of the case;

(iii) the said petitioner(s) shall make himself/herself available for interrogation by a police officer as and when required.”

4. This order should not be treated as “blanket” order. It will not be read granting petitioners no.2 and 3 indefinite protection from arrest. It shall be confined to the FIR mentioned *ibid* and will not operate in respect of any other incident that involves commission of an offence.

5. Needless to say that anything observed hereinabove shall not be construed to be an opinion on the merits of the case.

6. All pending application(s), if any, also stand **disposed** of accordingly.

October 15, 2024

dharamvir

(KULDEEP TIWARI)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned.	:	Yes/No
Whether Reportable.	:	Yes/No