

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

CRM-M-35891-2024

Date of Decision: 30.08.2024

RAHUL KUMAR AND OTHERS

...Petitioners

Vs.

STATE OF HARYANA AND OTHERS

...Respondents

CORAM:- HON'BLE MRS. JUSTICE KIRTI SINGH

Present: Mr. Manish Soni, Advocate for the petitioners.

Mr. Deepak Thukral, Addl. AG, Haryana with
Mr. Gaurav Bansal, DAG, Haryana.

Mr. Dharamvir Sharma, Advocate
for respondents no. 2 to 6.

KIRTI SINGH, J. (Oral)

The present petition has been filed under Section 482 Cr.P.C. for quashing of FIR No.344 dated 20.07.2024, under Section 115 of the Bharatiya Nyaya Sanhita (BNS), 2023, Section 3(1) (s) of Scheduled Castes and Scheduled Tribes (Prevention of Atrocities) Act, 1989, registered at Police Station Model Town, District Rewari (Annexure P-1) and all other consequential proceedings arising therefrom on the basis of the compromise dated 24.07.2024 (Annexure P-2).

2. Heard learned counsel for the parties and also gone through the case file.

3. This Court while issuing notice of motion vide order dated 29.07.2024, directed the parties to appear before the trial Court/Illaq Magistrate for recording their statements with regard to the compromise.

4. Pursuant to the aforesaid order dated 29.07.2024, report dated 05.08.2024 has been received from the Additional Sessions Judge, Rewari through District and Sessions Judge, Rewari vide letter dated 05.08.2024. A perusal of the said report reveals that statements of the concerned persons have been recorded in the present case, who have stated that the matter has been settled between them and they have no objection in case the FIR in question is quashed. The compromise effected between them is genuine, without any undue influence and coercion.

5. The Full Bench of this Court in ***Kulwinder Singh and others vs. State of Punjab, 2007 (3) RCR (Criminal) 1052***, held that High Court has power under Section 482 Cr.P.C. to allow the compounding of non-compoundable offence and quash the prosecution where the High Court is of the view that the same was required to prevent the abuse of the process of law or otherwise to secure the ends of justice. This power of quashing is not confined to matrimonial disputes alone.

6. Hon'ble the Supreme Court in the case of ***Gian Singh vs. State of Punjab and another, 2012 (4) RCR (Criminal) 543***, had observed that in order to secure the ends of justice or to prevent the abuse of process of Court, inherent power can be used by this Court to quash criminal proceedings in which a compromise has been effected. The relevant portion of para 57 of the said judgment reads thus:-

“57. The position that emerges from the above discussion

can be summarised thus: the power of the High Court in quashing a criminal proceeding or FIR or complaint in exercise of its inherent jurisdiction is distinct and different from the power given to a criminal court for compounding the offences under Section 320 of the Code.

Inherent power is of wide plenitude with no statutory limitation but it has to be exercised in accord with the guideline engrafted in such power viz; (i) to secure the ends of justice or (ii) to prevent abuse of the process of any Court.

xxx

xxx

xxx. ”

7. In view of the aforesaid judgments, perusing the report of the trial Court regarding amicable settlement between the petitioners and the respondents, this Court finds that quashing the FIR will accord a quietus to all disputes between the parties and it is in the interest of both sides to bury the hatchet and lead a peaceful life. Thus, no useful purpose would be served in continuing the proceedings and in order to secure the ends of justice, the criminal proceedings in the present case deserve to be quashed.

8. Resultantly, the present petition is allowed and FIR No.344 dated 20.07.2024, under Section 115 of the Bharatiya Nyaya Sanhita (BNS), 2023, Section 3(1) (s) of Scheduled Castes and Scheduled Tribes (Prevention of Atrocities) Act, 1989, registered at Police Station Model Town, District Rewari (Annexure P-1) and all other consequential



proceedings are quashed qua the petitioner on the basis of the compromise dated 24.07.2024 (Annexure P-2), ***subject to the costs of Rs. 10,000/- to be deposited in the Poor Patient Welfare Fund, PGIMER, Chandigarh within one month.***

(KIRTI SINGH)
JUDGE

30.08.2024

kv

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes/No
Whether reportable : Yes/No