



IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

(126)

CWP No. 17788 of 2024
Date of Decision : 30.07.2024

Amritbir Singh and others

...Petitioners

Versus

State of Punjab and others

...Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI

Present: Mr. Shub Karman Kumar, Advocate for the petitioners.
Mr. Swapan Shorey, Deputy Advocate General, Punjab.

Harsimran Singh Sethi J. (Oral)

1. In the present petition, the grievance being raised by the petitioners is that they are similarly situated as the petitioners in CWP No. 5382 of 2003 titled as **Baljinder Singh and others Vs. State of Punjab and others**, decided on 04.08.2023 but the benefit is not being extended to the petitioners on the ground that petitioners are not party to the said petition, whereas, as per the judgment of the Division Bench of this Court in CWP No. 4382 of 2002 titled as **Satbir Singh Vs. State of Haryana**, decided on 21.03.2002, once a question of law is settled, the State should extend the relief to all those who are similarly situated without forcing everyone to approach the Court, hence, the respondents are liable to be directed to consider the claim of the petitioners also for the grant of benefit in terms of the judgment in **Baljinder Singh and others (supra)**.

2. Notice of motion.



3. On the asking of the Court, Mr. Swapan Shorey, learned Deputy Advocate General, Punjab, who is present in Court, accepts notice on behalf of the respondent-State.

4. Learned counsel for the respondents submits that without filing a representation, the present petition has been filed but in case the petitioners claim that they are similarly situated as the petitioners in ***Baljinder Singh and others (supra)*** and in case, any such claim is raised before the authorities concerned by filing any representation, appropriate order on the said claim will be passed by the competent authority within a period of eight weeks of the receipt of any such claim and in case, it is found that the petitioners are entitled for any relief, the same will be extended, otherwise due reasons will be mentioned in the speaking order for not accepting the claim.

5. Faced with this situation, learned counsel for the petitioners submits that the present petition may kindly be disposed of having been not pressed any further with liberty to file appropriate representation with the respondents.

6. Ordered accordingly.

July 30, 2024
kanchan

(HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes

Whether reportable : No