

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

(217)

CWP-6081-2015 (O&M)
Date of Decision: 12.02.2024

Bhupinder KaurPetitioner

Versus

Punjab State Agricultural Marketing Board (PSAMB) and others
.....Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE NAMIT KUMAR

Present: Mr. D. S. Virk, Advocate, for the petitioner.

Mr. S.P.S.Tinna, Advocate, for the respondents.

NAMIT KUMAR, J.(ORAL)

1. By way of present writ petition filed under Article 226/227 of the Constitution of India, prayer has been made for quashing the order dated 22.05.2012 (Annexure P-1) wherby, respondent No.2 has refused to regularize the services of the petitioner to the post of Junior Draftsman w.e.f. 05.04.1999 by claiming to have been appointed as Work Charged Tracer on 25.11.1985 (Annexure P-2).
2. During the course of hearing, learned counsel for the respondents has produced original service book of the petitioner which clearly shows that the petitioner was appointed as Work Munshi vide letter dated 5310 dated 25.11.1985 in the pay scale of Rs.400-600/- (Annexure P-2). Whereas, petitioner has also attached true typed copy of appointment letter as Annexure P-2 along with its vernacular bearing number 5310 dated 25.11.1985 which states that the petitioner was appointed as Work Charged Tracer. It has also been pointed out that the petitioner has already retired from service on attaining the age of superannuation on 31.07.2021.

3. Since disputed question of facts are involved in the present petition with regard to the appointed post, therefore, the same cannot be gone into in the writ jurisdiction of this Court.
4. In view of the above, the present writ petition is disposed of with liberty to the petitioner to avail other legal remedies as admissible to him, in accordance with law.
5. Pending applications, if any, shall stand disposed of.

(NAMIT KUMAR)
JUDGE

12.02.2024
anil

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes/No
Whether reportable : Yes/No