



**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

205

CWP-23990-2022

Date of decision: 23.09.2024

**THE PUNJAB STATE COOPERATIVE SUPPLY AND MARKETING
FEDERATION LIMITED AND ANR.**

....PETITIONER

Vs.

JEET SINGH AND ORS

...RESPONDENTS

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE JAGMOHAN BANSAL

Present: Mr. K.S. Chhibber, Advocate for
Mr. T.V.S. Lehal, Advocate
for the petitioners.

Mr. Himanshu Chhabra, Advocate
for respondent No. 1.

JAGMOHAN BANSAL, J (ORAL)

1. The petitioner through instant petition under Articles 226/227 of the Constitution of India is seeking setting aside of orders dated 02.03.2020 (Annexure P-5) passed by respondent No. 2 and 30.08.2018 (Annexure P-3) passed by respondent No. 3.

2. The petitioners claim that respondent was entitled to gratuity to the tune of Rs. 3,81,105/- whereas Authorities have awarded Rs. 4,54,394/-. The difference in the amount accepted by petitioner and ordered by Authorities comes to Rs. 73,289/-.

3. Counsel for respondent No. 1 submits that gratuity ordered by Controlling Authority as well as Appellate Authority constituted under Payment of Gratuity Act, 1972 stands released.



CWP-23990-2022

-2-

4. Counsel for the petitioners expressed his inability to controvert aforesaid statement that gratuity stands released and amount of difference of gratuity is very small i.e. Rs. 73,289/-.

5. In view of the fact that differential amount i.e. Rs. 73,289/- has already been paid and the said amount was a meager amount, this Court in the light of paras 50-52 of judgment of Supreme Court in *Central Council for Research in Ayurvedic Sciences and another v. Bikartan Das and others, 2023 SCC OnLine SC 996*, does not find it appropriate to invoke its extra-ordinary writ jurisdiction. Accordingly, dismissed.

23.09.2024
manoj

[JAGMOHAN BANSAL]
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned	Yes/No
Whether reportable	Yes/No