

2024:PHHC:131221



IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH

Sr. No.217

CRM-M-35829-2024 (O&M)
Date of decision : 03.10.2024

Sombir Petitioner

VERSUS

State of Haryana Respondent

CORAM: HON’BLE MS. JUSTICE KIRTI SINGH

Present: Mr. Johan Kumar, Advocate, for the petitioner.

Mr. Anmol Malik, DAG, Haryana.

KIRTI SINGH, J. (Oral)

1. Prayer in the present petition filed under Section 438 Cr.P.C., is for grant of anticipatory bail to the petitioner, in case FIR No.639 dated 18.12.2023, under Section 307 IPC and Section 25 of the Arms Act, 1959, registered at Police Station Sonipat City, District Sonipat.
2. Learned State counsel on instructions from ASI-Manoj submits that in compliance of order dated 29.07.2024, the petitioner has joined the investigation and is not required for any further investigation.
3. Having considered the aforesaid facts and circumstances, the petition is allowed. Order dated 29.07.2024 passed by this Court, is hereby made absolute.
4. This order should not be treated as "blanket" order. It will not be read granting the petitioner(s) indefinite protection from arrest. It shall be confined to the FIR mentioned *ibid* and will not operate in respect of any other incident that involves commission of an offence.

2024:PHHC:131221



- 5. This order does not in any manner limit or restrict the rights or duties of the police or investigating agency to investigate into the charges against the petitioner(s).
- 6. The accused-petitioner(s) shall not directly or indirectly make any inducement, threat or promise to any person acquainted with the facts of the case so as to dissuade him or her from disclosing such facts to the Court or to any police office.
- 7. The accused-petitioner(s) shall not leave India without prior permission of the Court.
- 8. The accused-petitioner(s) shall join the investigation as and when called by the police.
- 9. It will be open to the police or the investigating agency to move to this Court for a direction under Section 439(2) Cr.P.C. to arrest the accused-petitioner(s), in the event of violation of any term, such as absconding, non-cooperating during investigation, evasion, intimidation or inducement to witnesses with a view to influence outcome of the investigation or trial.

Pending miscellaneous application(s), if any, also stands disposed of.

(KIRTI SINGH)
JUDGE

03.10.2024
Ramandeep Singh

Whether speaking / reasoned	Yes/No
Whether Reportable	Yes/No