



CRM-M-36750-2024

1

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

214

CRM-M-36750-2024
Decided on: 06.08.2024

Karambir Singh @ Sahil

. . . Petitioner(s)

Versus

State of Punjab

. . . Respondent(s)

CORAM: HON'BLE MS. JUSTICE KIRTI SINGH

PRESENT: Ms. Meenu Verma, Legal Aid Counsel
for the petitioner.
(Through video conferencing).

Mr. Vinay Kumar, DAG, Punjab.

KIRTI SINGH, J. (Oral)

The jurisdiction of this Court under Section 439 Cr.P.C. has been invoked for grant of regular bail to the petitioner in case FIR No.137 dated 31.12.2021, under Sections 302, 506 and 34 IPC, registered at Police Station Ghuman, District Batala.

2. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that as per the case of the prosecution, it is alleged that petitioner along with co-accused Rohan had inflicted injuries on the son of the complainant who subsequently succumbed to the injuries. Learned counsel for the petitioner further submits that petitioner has undergone an actual custody of 02 years and 06 months. The petitioner has clean antecedents and is not involved in any other case.

3. Learned State counsel has provided the custody certificate dated 05.08.2024 which is taken on record. As per the custody certificate the petitioner has undergone an actual custody of 02 years and 06 months and there is no other case against him. He further on instructions from Inspector Sukhraj Singh, submits that charges were framed on 13.09.2022 and out of



however, submits that in view of the serious allegations against the petitioner, he is not entitled to the concession of regular bail.

4. Heard the rival submissions made by learned counsel for the parties.

5. The charges were framed on 13.09.2022 and out of 14 prosecution witnesses only 03 witnesses have been examined. Considering the fact that petitioner is the main accused and he has undergone an actual custody of 02 years and 06 months only, this Court is not inclined to grant him the concession of regular bail at this stage.

6. The petition is dismissed.

7. However, the learned Trial Court is directed to conclude the trial expeditiously.

Pending miscellaneous application(s), if any, also stands disposed of.

(KIRTI SINGH)
JUDGE

06.08.2024
Kapil

Whether speaking/reasoned: Yes/No
Whether Reportable: Yes/No