



221

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

RA-CW-223-2021
in CWP No.17784 of 2020
Decided on :28.08.2024

Suresho Devi

.....Petitioner

VERSUS

CAT Chandigarh and others

.....Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MRS. JUSTICE LISA GILL
HON'BLE MRS. JUSTICE SUKHVINDER KAUR

Present: Mr. Karnail Singh, Advocate
for review-applicant/petitioner.

Mr. Satya Pal Jain, Addl. Solicitor General of India with
Mr. S.K. Sharma, Advocate
for non-applicants/respondents No.2 to 4.

LISA GILL, J (ORAL)

1 Prayer in this application is for review of order dated 28.10.2020 whereby CWP No.17784 of 2020 had been dismissed. Learned counsel for applicant submits that there is an error apparent on record inasmuch as it is concluded in order dated 28.10.2020 that husband of applicant had not been screened.

2 Reference is made to letter dated 08.05.1989 which indicates that applicant's husband had been working since 01.07.1969 and he had thereafter been

given a pay scale of Wash boy in the Scale of Rs.2550-3200 (Annexure A-16). In the reply filed to this application seeking review, it is stated that there is a reference in the service record about the deceased employee being appointed by DPO/UMB letter No.974-E/II/Cant/Welfare/UMB dated 29.5.1989. Though, learned counsel non-applicant/respondents submits that signatures and stamp of the persons against the remark in this coloumn is not of Competent Authority, the entry is however, not disputed.

3 In this view of the matter, there is indeed a requirement to assess the entire facts and circumstances again. The factual issue as above calls for review of order dated 28.10.2020.

4 Keeping in view the facts and circumstances as above, order dated 28.10.2020 is recalled. Writ petition be listed for final disposal on 04.11.2024.

5 Review application is disposed of accordingly.

(LISA GILL)
JUDGE

(SUKHVINDER KAUR)
JUDGE

28.08.2024
jyoti3
Whether speaking/reasoned: Yes/No
Whether reportable: Yes/No