



ARB-337-2024

-1-

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

114

ARB-337-2024

Date of decision: 28.08.2024

M/S ALOK INFRABUILDERS PVT LTD ...PETITIONER

VS.

HOUSING BOARD HARYANA AND ANR ...RESPONDENTS

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SUVIR SEHGAL

Present: Mr. Rohan Markanda, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr. P.S. Rana, Advocate for the respondents.

SUVIR SEHGAL J. (ORAL)

1. By way of present application filed under Section 29A (4) of the Arbitration and Conciliation Act, 1996, (for short 'the Act') read with Section 151 of the Code of Civil Procedure, petitioner has approached this Court for extension of mandate of the learned Arbitrator for a period of 12 months.

2. Counsel for the petitioner submits that by order dated 18.11.2022, Annexure P-1, this Court had appointed an Arbitrator on a petition filed by the petitioner. He submits that the arbitral proceedings are at the stage of recording of evidence by the parties, however, the time period for conclusion of the proceedings has expired. He has placed reliance upon the judgment of the Bombay High Court in **K.I.P.L.**

Vistacore Infra Projects J.V. Versus Municipal Corporation of the city of Ichalkarnji 2024 SCC OnLine Bom 327 to submit that where an Arbitrator has been appointed by the High Court, the Court will have the jurisdiction to extend the time period for making the award.

3. Notice of motion.
4. Mr. P.S. Rana, Advocate accepts notice on behalf of the respondents. Upon instructions received, he submits that the respondents do not have any objection, in case, the time period is extended.
5. Accordingly, petition is allowed.
6. Time period for concluding the arbitral proceedings is extended by a period of 06 months from the date a copy of this order is communicated to the learned Arbitrator.

28.08.2024

(SUVIR SEHGAL)
JUDGE

sheetal

Whether Speaking/Reasoned	Yes/No
Whether Reportable	Yes/No