

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH.

116

CWP No.17360 of 2024.
Date of Decision: 25.07.2024.

IIFL Home Finance Limited
VERSUS
State of Haryana and others

....Petitioner.
....Respondents.

CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ANUPINDER SINGH GREWAL
HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE LAPITA BANERJI

Present: Mr. Vineet Sehgal, Advocate for the petitioner.

ANUPINDER SINGH GREWAL, J. (Oral)

Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that although physical possession of the mortgaged property had been taken over by the petitioner, respondents No.5 and 6, who are the borrowers, had broken open the locks and dispossessed the petitioner. The petitioner has sent representations dated 11.03.2024 (Annexures P-8 and P-9) to respondents No.2 and 3, but no action has been taken on the said representations.

2. Issue notice to respondents No.1 to 4 only at this stage.
3. At the asking of the Court, Mr. Anant Kataria, Deputy Advocate General, Haryana, accepts notice on behalf of respondents No.1 to 4.
4. Heard.
5. In view of the limited prayer, this petition is disposed of with direction to respondents No.2 and 3 to consider and decide the representations dated 11.03.2024 (Annexures P-8 and P-9) preferred by the petitioner, within a period of one month from the date of receipt of certified copy of this order.

(ANUPINDER SINGH GREWAL)
JUDGE

(LAPITA BANERJI)
JUDGE

25.07.2024

jitender

Whether speaking/ reasoned : Yes/ No
Whether Reportable : Yes/ No