



CRM-M-35500-2024

215 **IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

CRM-M-35500-2024
Decided on : 03.12.2024

Baljit Singh

..... Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab

..... Respondent

CORAM : HON'BLE MRS. JUSTICE MANJARI NEHRU KAUL

Present : Mr. Suvir Sidhu, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr. Navdeep Singh, DAG, Punjab.

Mr. R.K.Girdhar, Advocate
for the complainant.

Manjari Nehru Kaul, J.

The present petition has been filed under Section 482 BNSS r/w Section 438 Cr.PC, for grant of anticipatory bail to the petitioner in case FIR No.343 dated 18.12.2023 under Sections 307, 324, 506, 323 and 34 IPC registered at Police Station City Tarn Taran.

2. On 03.09.2024, while noticing the following submissions made by the learned counsel for the parties, this Court had granted the concession of interim bail to the petitioner and asked him to join investigation:

“Learned counsel for the petitioner has reiterated that it is a case of version and cross-version. In addition to the submissions made on the last date of hearing, it has been contended that the occurrence in question took place in the agricultural fields of the petitioner himself and thus, it is



evident that it was the opposite party, which had aggressed into his property with lethal weapons leaving him with no other option but to attack the opposite party in his self-defence. Learned State counsel, on instructions from ASI Surjit Singh, has not disputed the place of occurrence. It has also not been disputed, on instructions, that the petitioner sustained as many as 18 injuries on his person at the hands of prime accused Avtar Singh belonging to the complainant party. Furthermore, it has also not been disputed that the DDR version, wherein the petitioner has been made an accused was registered much after the present FIR i.e. FIR No.343 dated 18.12.2023 under Sections 307/324/506/323/34 of the IPC, had been lodged at the instance of the petitioner party.”

3. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that in compliance of order dated 03.09.2024, petitioner has joined the investigation and cooperated with the investigating officer.
4. Learned State counsel, on instructions, has not disputed the submission made by learned counsel for the petitioner. Learned State counsel has further submitted that the petitioner is not required for custodial interrogation.
5. In view of the above, present petition is allowed and interim order dated 03.09.2024 is made absolute subject to the conditions as envisaged in Section 438(2) Cr.PC/482(2) of BNSS.

03.12.2024
sonia

(MANJARI NEHRU KAUL)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned: Yes/No

Whether reportable : Yes/No