



**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

Sr. No. 126 (3 cases)

(1)

LPA No.1431 of 2019 (O&M)

Shweta Dhull and another

..... Appellants

Versus

State of Haryana through Chief Secretary and others

..... Respondents

(2)

LPA No.1742 of 2019 (O&M)

Wazir Dalal

..... Appellant

Versus

State of Haryana through Chief Secretary and others

..... Respondents

(3)

LPA No.1728 of 2019 (O&M)

Sugandha

..... Appellant

Versus

State of Haryana through Chief Secretary and others

..... Respondents

Date of decision: 19.07.2024

**CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE DEEPAK SIBAL
HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE DEEPAK MANCHANDA**

Present : None for the appellant(s).

Ms. Shruti Jain Goyal, Senior DAG, Haryana.

Mr. Kanwal Goyal, Advocate for the respondent-HPSC.

* * *

DEEPAK SIBAL, J. (Oral)

(1) The afore mentioned 03 intra court appeals are directed against the judgment dated 13.08.2019 passed by a learned Single Judge of this Court dismissing the appellants' writ petitions filed by them to challenge



therein the preliminary result dated 21.05.2019 declared by the Haryana Public Service Commission (for short – the Commission) for making appointments to the Haryana Civil Services (Executive Branch) and other Allied Services in the State of Haryana.

(2) The record reveals that the selection in question took place in pursuance to the advertisement published by the Commission on 02.08.2018. The final result of the preliminary examination, which has been impugned by the appellants, was declared on 21.05.2019. Since there was no interim stay, the main examination was held by the Commission on 16.08.2019, the final result of which was declared on 21.10.2019. Thereafter, appointments were made and these appointees, as on date are in service of the State for over 04 years. These appointees are necessary parties but have not been impleaded.

(3) Even otherwise, similar LPAs being ***LPA No.1423 of 2019 – Monika Vs. State of Haryana and another*** and ***LPA No.1424 of 2019 – Anmol Singh Vs. State of Haryana and another*** stand dismissed by a coordinate Bench on 11.03.2022. The operative part of such order reads as under : -

“Keeping in view the above, this Court is of the opinion that the appeals and the writ petition has been rendered infructuous on account of the subsequent events which have taken place. The selection process has been finalized and the selected persons of a certain set of categories have vested interests and their rights cannot be disturbed without hearing them. Resultantly, the present appeals and the writ petition are dismissed as having been rendered infructuous. All interim orders also stand vacated.”



(4) In the light of the above, we are of the opinion that the present appeals are fully covered by the afore quoted decision of the coordinate Bench. Accordingly, they are also dismissed in the same terms.

(5) All pending miscellaneous application(s) also stand disposed of.

(DEEPAK SIBAL)
JUDGE

19.07.2024

sunil yadav

(DEEPAK MANCHANDA)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes / No

Whether reportable : Yes / No