



IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

300

CRM-M-35970-2024 (O&M)
Date of decision: 13.11.2024

AMANDEEP KALIA AND ORS

...Petitioners

Versus

STATE OF HARYANA AND ANR

...Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MS. JUSTICE HARPREET KAUR JEEWAN

Present : Ms. Sujata, Advocate for
Mr. Parminder Singh, Advocate
for the petitioners.

Mr. Deepak Kumar Grewal, DAG, Haryana.

Ms. Kamlesh, Advocate
for the respondent No.2.

HARPREET KAUR JEEWAN , J.

[1] The present petition has been filed under Section 528 BNSS for quashing of FIR No.69 dated 09.04.2022 (Annexure P-1), under Sections 323, 354, 376, 377, 406, 498-A, 506 and 511 IPC registered at Police Station Women, District Karnal (later on challan presented under Sections 323, 377, 406, 498 and 506 IPC), on the basis of compromise deed dated 12.07.2024 (Annexure P-2) executed between the parties.

[2] Learned counsel for the petitioners contends that the FIR was registered at the instance of respondent No.2 on account of a matrimonial dispute between parties. The matter has been settled between the parties and compromise



deed dated 12.07.2024 (Annexure P-2) has been executed between them, as such, respondent No.2 does not want to take any action in the FIR.

[3] Learned counsel for respondent No.2 confirms about the factum of compromise between the parties.

[4] On 17.09.2024, the parties were directed to appear before the trial Court/Illaq Magistrate for recording of their statements regarding the compromise.


[5] As per the report dated 25.10.2024 received from Judicial Magistrate 1st Class, Karnal forwarded through the office of District and Sessions Judge, Karnal, compromise effected between the parties is genuine, voluntary and without any coercion or undue influence.

[6] Learned State counsel has not raised any objection regarding the acceptance of the present petition.

[7] The matrimonial dispute between the parties stands resolved. In view of the above, no useful purpose would be served to continue with the prosecution of the petitioners in the present FIR.

[8] Keeping in view the fact that the compromise between the parties is genuine and voluntarily made and in view of the ratio of the decision of Hon'ble Apex Court in **Gian Singh Versus State of Punjab (SC) 2012 (4) RCR (Criminal) 543** and the decision of Hon'ble the Full Bench of this Court in **Kulwinder Singh and others vs. State of Punjab and others 2007 (3) RCR (Criminal) 1052**, the ends of justice would meet if the FIR and consequential proceedings are quashed by accepting the compromise between the parties.

[9] Consequently, this petition is allowed and FIR No.69 dated 09.04.2022 (Annexure P-1), under Sections 323, 354, 376, 377, 406, 498-A, 506



and 511 IPC registered at Police Station Women, District Karnal (Annexure P-1) and all the subsequent proceedings emanating therefrom are ordered to be quashed, qua the petitioners.

[10] However, the respondent No.2 and the State shall be at liberty to seek cancellation of this order, in case the final terms and conditions of compromise (Annexure P-2) are violated.

[11] Pending miscellaneous application(s), if any, shall also stand disposed of.

(HARPREET KAUR JEEWAN)
JUDGE

13.11.2024
P.Bhatt

Whether speaking/reasoned	Yes/No
Whether reportable	Yes/No