



CRM-M-35447-2024

1

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

(206)

CRM-M-35447-2024
Date of Decision : 01.10.2024

Urmila ...Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana ...Respondent

CORAM: HON’BLE MR. JUSTICE KULDEEP TIWARI

Present: Mr. Baljeet Beniwal, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr. Bhupender Singh, DAG, Haryana.

KULDEEP TIWARI, J.(ORAL)

1. This Court, on dated 05.08.2024, had passed the hereinafter
extracted order, upon the instant petition:-

- “1. Through the instant petition, as instituted under Section 482 of the B.N.S.S., 2023, the petitioner seeks the concession of anticipatory bail, in case FIR No.53 dated 28.03.2024, under Sections 307, 323, 332, 353, 34 of the IPC, registered at P.S. Hassanpur, District Palwal.*
- 2. The learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the only allegation levelled against the petitioner, who is a septuagenarian lady, is that, she threw bricks upon the police party, which went to arrest her son Sonu @ Bahadur, who is stated to be involved in a couple of cases. There is no allegation that her aforesaid act has resulted in injuries becoming suffered by any police official.*
- 3. Lastly, the learned counsel for the petitioner submits that petitioner’s co-accused/family members Bijender, Sonu and Mamta have already been enlarged on regular bail. 3. Notice of motion for 01.10.2024.*



4. Mr. Rajesh Gaur, Addl. A.G., Haryana, accepts notice on behalf of respondent-State of Haryana.

5. In the meantime, the petitioner is directed to join the investigation and to appear before the investigating agency, as and when called upon to do so. In the event of her arrest, she shall be admitted to interim bail on her furnishing bail bonds to the satisfaction of the Arresting/Investigating Officer. The petitioner shall abide by the terms and conditions as envisaged under Section 438(2) Cr.P.C.”

2. Today, the learned State counsel, on instructions imparted to him from the Investigating Officer concerned, stated that pursuant to the making of the hereinabove extracted order, the petitioner had joined the investigation and she is no longer required for further custodial interrogation.

3. In view of the above, the hereinabove extracted interim order dated 05.08.2024, is hereby made **absolute**, subject to the hereinafter extracted conditions:-

“(i) the petitioner(s) shall not commit an offence similar to the present offence;

(ii) the petitioner(s) shall not directly or indirectly make any inducement, threat or promise to any person acquainted with the facts of the case;

(iii) the petitioner(s) shall make himself/herself available for interrogation by a police officer as and when required.”

4. This order should not be treated as “blanket” order. It will not be read granting petitioner(s) indefinite protection from arrest. It shall be confined to the FIR mentioned *ibid* and will not operate in respect of any other incident that involves commission of an offence.



CRM-M-35447-2024

3

5. Needless to say, that anything observed hereinabove, shall not be construed to be an expression of opinion, on the merits of the case.

(KULDEEP TIWARI)
JUDGE

October 01, 2024
Manpreet

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes/No
Whether reportable : Yes/No