

Present: Mr. Arjun Sheoran, Advocate and
 Ms. Tejasvi Sheokand, Advocate, for the applicant.

 Mr. Aashish Bishnoi, DAG, Haryana.

 Mr. Saket Bhandari, Advocate, for respondents No. 2, 3, 4 and 6.

 Counsel appearing for respondents No. 2, 3, 4 and 6 submits that respondent No.5 has expired. He waives service and accept notice on behalf of respondents No. 2, 3, 4 and 6.

 Although, no report has been received for service of respondent No.5 but given the fact that respondent No.5 is stated to be expired, as such, Leave to appeal qua respondent No.5 stands disposed of.

 Counsel appearing for the applicant submits that the present application was filed against acquittal in the year 2019 and he has a very good case on merit.

 Learned counsel for respondents No. 2, 3, 4 and 6 submits that the judgment of acquittal is well reasoned and leave to appeal should not be granted. He further submits that in case, this Court grants leave to appeal and admit the main appeal, its turn will not come for hearing for decades, as such pendency of the appeal may be treated in-consequential for the respondent.

 Leave to appeal granted.

 Counsel for respondents No. 2, 3, 4 and 6 submits that he be exempted from furnishing bail bonds/surety bonds before the concerned trial Court or Chief Judicial Magistrate. He undertakes to surrender before the majesty of Court as and when this Court directed to do so or in case of reversal of the judgment.

 Given such undertaking, there shall be no need to furnish bail bonds/surety bonds by the respondent.

Main appeal

 Admit.

 List for final hearing on its own turn as per its queue.

 It is clarified that the pendency of this appeal shall not be construed against the accused-respondents in any manner whatsoever.

09.12.2024
smriti

(ANOOP CHITKARA)
JUDGE