



**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

Date of Decision : 21-10-2024

.....Petitioner(s)

STATE OF PUNJAB & ORS.

.....Respondent(s)

HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI, J. (Oral)

Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the question of law raised in the present petition has already been answered by this Court while passing order in CWP No.17246 of 2019 titled “***Sukhwinder Singh Vs. State of Punjab and anr.***”, decided on 19.09.2024 hence, the present petition be also disposed of in same terms.

Learned counsel for the respondent has not been able to rebut the same but submits that the claim of the petitioner No.3 is not covered keeping in view the reply filed by the respondent-UGC according to which, on the date when the qualification was acquired by the petitioners, the

University concerned was not recognised so as to impart the qualification secured by the petitioner No.3.

Learned counsel for the petitioner has not been able to rebut the said contention of the learned State counsel.

Keeping in view of the above, the present petition qua petitioner No.1,2 and 4 is disposed of in terms of ***Sukhwinder Singh's case (supra)***.

Learned counsel for the petitioner No.3 however submitted that he be given the liberty to approach the respondents for redressal of his grievance by making appropriate representation in case he intends to do so.

Ordered accordingly.

Pending application, if any, also stands disposed of.

21-10-2024
Sapna Goyal

(HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI)
JUDGE

NOTE: Whether speaking: YES/NO
Whether reportable: YES/NO