



252 IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

CRM-M-35695-2024 (O&M)
Date of Decision: 29.08.2024

LALA RAM AND OTHERS

...PETITIONERS

V/S

STATE OF HARYANA AND ANOTHER

...RESPONDENTS

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE HARPREET SINGH BRAR

Present: Mr. Nikhil Vats, Advocate for the petitioner.

Mr. Vikas Bhardwaj, AAG Haryana.

Mr. Prashant Deswal, Advocate for respondent No. 2.

HARPREET SINGH BRAR J. (ORAL)

1. This petition has been filed under Section 482 Cr.P.C. seeking quashing of FIR No.11 dated 23.04.2024 under Sections 323/354-A/376/506/511/34 of IPC registered at Police Station Women Police Station, Rohtak (Annexure P-1) along with all subsequent proceedings arising therefrom including final report under Section 173(2) Cr.P.C. on the basis of compromise dated 18.07.2024 (Annexure P-3).

2. The following order was passed on 29.07.2024:

“This petition has been filed under Section 482 Cr.P.C. seeking quashing of FIR No.11 dated 23.04.2024 under Sections 323/354-A/376/506/511/34 of IPC registered at Police Station Women Police Station, Rohtak (Annexure P-1) along with all subsequent proceedings arising therefrom including final report under Section 173 Cr.P.C. on the basis of compromise dated 18.07.2024 (Annexure P-3).

Learned counsel for the petitioners inter alia contends that the FIR (supra) has been registered on account of molestation of the complainant/respondent No.2. The complainant/respondent No.2 has made a complaint on the basis of which the FIR (supra) got registered. He further submits that the parties are ready and willing



2

to record their statements for quashing of FIR (supra) on the basis of compromise. He further relies upon the judgment of the Hon'ble Supreme Court in 'Kapil Gupta Vs. State of NCT of Delhi and others' 2022 (4) RCR (Criminal) 497.

Notice of motion for 29.08.2024.

At this stage, on the asking of the Court, Ms. Geeta Sharma, DAG, Haryana accepts notice on behalf of respondent No.1-State and Mr. Prashant Deswal, Advocate accepts notice for respondent No.2 and files his power of attorney and admits to the factum of compromise. Copy of the paper book be supplied to them during the course of day.

Service is complete.

In the meanwhile, the parties are directed to appear before the learned trial Court/Illaq Magistrate within two weeks from today or any other date convenient to the trial Court/Illaq Magistrate, to get their statements recorded regarding compromise and after recording their statements, learned trial Court/Illaq Magistrate is directed to send report regarding the genuineness of compromise and also to intimate whether any PO proceedings are pending against any of the party on or before the date fixed i.e. 29.08.2024.

A copy of the order be sent to learned trial Court/Illaq Magistrate through fax for compliance."

3. In compliance of the aforesaid order, a report has been received from the concerned jurisdictional Court that the compromise between the parties is genuine and arrived at without any pressure or coercion from anyone.

4. In view of the compromise and the ratio of law laid down by the Hon'ble Supreme Court in **Narinder Singh and others vs. State of Punjab and another, (2014) 6 SCC 466**, **Ramgopal and another Vs. State of Madhya Pradesh 2021 SCC OnLine SC 834** and **Shakuntala Sawhney (Mrs) Vs. Kaushalya (Mrs.) and others (1980) 1 SCC 63** and Full Bench of this Court in **Kulwinder Singh Vs. State of Punjab 2007 (3) RCR (Crl.) 1052**, this petition is allowed and FIR No.11 dated 23.04.2024 under Sections

323/354-A/376/506/511/34 of IPC registered at Police Station Women Police

Station, Rohtak (Annexure P-1) along with all subsequent proceedings arising therefrom are quashed, qua the petitioners.

(HARPREET SINGH BRAR)
JUDGE

29.08.2024
Ajay Goswami

(i)	Whether speaking/reasoned	Yes/No
(ii)	Whether reportable	Yes/No