

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

CRM-M-37179-2023

Date of decision: 22.02.2024

JAGGA SINGH

....PETITIONER(S)

Versus

STATE OF PUNJAB

....RESPONDENT(S)

CORAM:- HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SANJIV BERRY

Present:- Mr. Hem Raj Kapil, Advocate for the petitioner.

Mr. Ankit Grewal, DAG, Punjab.

SANJIV BERRY, J.(ORAL)

1. Custody certificate dated 21.02.2024 filed in Court today. The same is taken on record. Copy thereof has been supplied to the opposite counsel.
2. After arguing at some length, learned counsel for the petitioner has sought withdrawal of the present petition at this stage but has requested that as the witnesses are police officials, directions may be given to the concerned trial Court as well as the police department to produce the witnesses in Court for expeditious disposal of the case.
3. In view of the statement made by learned counsel for the petitioner, the petition stands disposed of being not pressed.
4. However, keeping in view the fact that the petitioner is in custody since 30.01.2022 and out of 17 cited witnesses, only six witnesses have been examined by the prosecution, it is desirable from the concerned

trial Court to dispose of the case in an expeditious manner preferably within a period of six months by giving short adjournments.

5. Since the witnesses cited by the prosecution happen to be the police officers, Senior Superintendent of Police, Barnala, is directed to ensure the presence of witnesses on the date of hearing in pursuance to the summons issued by the Court by deputing a responsible Gazetted Officer for the purpose to efficiently supervise their due service and presence.

(SANJIV BERRY)
JUDGE

22.02.2024
kanika

- | | | |
|-----|----------------------------|--------|
| i) | Whether speaking/reasoned? | Yes/No |
| ii) | Whether reportable? | Yes/No |