

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

CRM-M-37870-2023
Date of decision: 23.01.2024

Amanat Ansari and others....Petitioners.

Versus

State of Punjab and others....Respondents.

CORAM:- HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SANJIV BERRY

Present:- Mr. Prashant Vashisth, Advocate,
for the petitioners.

Mr. Sarabjit Singh Cheema, DAG, Punjab.

.....

SANJIV BERRY, J. (ORAL)

1. By way of instant petition, the petitioners seeks quashing of the FIR (Annexure P-1), on the basis of averments made in the petition.

Details of the FIR are as under: -

FIR No.	Dated	Sections	Police Station
88	17.07.2022	406, 420, 120-B IPC	Kotwali Nabha, Patiala

2. Heard.

3. It is, *inter alia*, contended by learned counsel for the petitioners that the petitioners have been falsely implicated in this case by the complainant and even during the course of investigation, amount had been paid to the complainant towards settlement and on the basis thereof quashing of the FIR has been sought.

4. Notice of motion.
5. On the asking of the Court, Mr. Sarabjit Singh Cheema, DAG, Punjab, who is present in Court, accepts notice and, on instructions from ASI Ripan Kumar, intimates that the matter is still under investigation and whatever the petitioners intend to apprise the during the investigation, he can do so.
6. After arguing at some length, learned counsel for the petitioners has sought withdrawal of the instant petition with liberty to move specific application in this regard to the Investigating Officer, raising all the pleas, as have been taken in the present petition.
7. Dismissed as withdrawn with the aforesaid liberty.
8. However, it is ordered that in case such an application is preferred by the petitioners before the Investigating Officer, the same shall be disposed of in accordance with the law.

23.01.2024
preeti

(SANJIV BERRY)
JUDGE

- | | | |
|-----|----------------------------|--------|
| i) | Whether speaking/reasoned? | Yes/No |
| ii) | Whether reportable? | Yes/No |