

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

114

CR-6746-2019

Date of Decision: 09.02.2024

Farukh

...Petitioner

Versus

Umar Modh. and another

...Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE VIKAS SURI

Present:- Ms. Ashmeen, Advocate, for
Mr. Kamaldeep Sehra, Advocate, for the petitioner.

VIKAS SURI, J. (ORAL)

1. The present revision petition has been filed under Article 227 of the Constitution of India assailing order dated 06.05.20219 (Annexure P-6) passed by the Rent Controller, whereby the application moved by the petitioner under Order 1 Rule 10 CPC for being impleaded as a party to the eviction petition, has been dismissed.

2. It has been brought to the notice of this Court that the main petition under Section 13 of Haryana Urban (Control of Rent & Eviction) Act, 1973, from which the present revision has arisen, has since been disposed of on 11.09.2019. The aforesaid status of the main case as well as the order dated 11.09.2019 is available on the e-Courts web portal.

3. Learned counsel for the petitioner is not in position to dispute the legal proposition that on account of the main case having been disposed

of by the learned Rent Controller, the instant revision has been rendered infructuous, as such.

4. In view of the above, present revision is disposed of as having been rendered infructuous.

5. However, liberty is granted to the petitioner to seek revival of the petition within a reasonable period, in case any actionable claim still survives.

February 09, 2024
harish

(VIKAS SURI)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned	Yes/No
Whether reportable	Yes/No