



**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

(112)

CWP-17452-2024

Date of Decision : August 23, 2024

Surinder Singh Dhillon

.. Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab and another

.. Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI

Present: Mr. Harkirat Singh Sandhu, Advocate, for the petitioner.

HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI J. (ORAL)

1. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that in the present writ petition, the charge-sheet, which has been issued to the petitioner, has been challenged and as no order causing prejudice to the petitioner has been passed so far and as the petitioner has done no wrong while discharging the duties of the post in question, the petitioner will present all the facts before the authorities concerned in reply to the charge-sheet so as to seek exoneration of the allegations. Learned counsel for the petitioner further submits that the present writ petition may kindly be disposed of having been not pressed any further with liberty to the petitioner that in case, any order of punishment is passed against the petitioner, he can avail appropriate remedy in accordance with law.

2. Ordered accordingly.

August 23, 2024

harsha

(HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI)

JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes/No

Whether reportable : Yes/No