

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

276

CRM-M No. 37069-2023
Date of Decision: 06.02.2024

Angrej Singh and others

.....Petitioners

Versus

State of Punjab and another

.....Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE HARPREET SINGH BRAR**Present:** Mr. Gurvinder Singh Sandhu, Advocate for the petitioners.
Mr. Sandeep Kumar, DAG, Punjab.
Mr. Gagandeep Singh Gill, Advocate for respondent No. 2.

HARPREET SINGH BRAR, J.(ORAL)

1. This petition has been filed under Section 482 of the Code of Criminal Procedure seeking quashing of FIR No. 0048 dated 20.04.2023 registered under Sections 379-B, 325, 323, 148 and 149 of Indian Penal Code, 1860 at Police Station Sangat, District Bathinda and all subsequent proceedings arising therefrom in view of the compromise dated 12.05.2023.

2. The following order was passed on 31.07.2023:

“Present petition has been filed under Section 482 Cr.P.C. seeking quashing of FIR No.0048 dated 20.04.2023, under Sections 379-B, 325, 323, 148, 149 of IPC, registered at Police Station Sangat, District Bathinda and all subsequent proceedings arising therefrom, on the basis of compromise dated 12.05.2023 (Annexure P-2), which is stated to have been effected between the parties.

Notice of motion.

Learned State counsel, on service of advance copy of the petition, appears and accepts notice on behalf of the respondent-State of Punjab.

Mr. Gagandeep Singh Gill, Advocate appears, accepts notice on behalf of respondent No.2 and admits the factum of compromise.

In the aforesaid premise, parties are directed to appear before the Illaqa Magistrate/trial Court for recording their respective statements with regard to the compromise on 29.08.2023.

A report with regard to veracity of the compromise be furnished to this Court on or before the next date.

Post it on 19.10.2023.”

3. In compliance of the above order, a report has been received from the concerned jurisdictional Court that the compromise between the parties is genuine and arrived at without any pressure or coercion from anyone.

4. In view of the compromise and the ratio of law laid down by the Hon’ble Supreme Court in **Narinder Singh and others vs. State of Punjab and another, (2014) 6 SCC 466, Ramgopal and another Vs. State of Madhya Pradesh 2021 SCC OnLine SC 834 and Shakuntala Sawhney (Mrs) Vs. Kaushalya (Mrs.) and others (1980) 1 SCC 63** and Full Bench of this Court in **Kulwinder Singh Vs. State of Punjab 2007 (3) RCR (Crl.) 1052**, this petition is allowed and FIR No. 0048 dated 20.04.2023 registered under Sections 379-B, 325, 323, 148 and 149 of Indian Penal Code, 1860 at Police Station Sangat, District Bathinda and all subsequent proceedings arising out of the same are quashed, qua the petitioners.

06.02.2024

Rajeev (rvs)

(HARPREET SINGH BRAR)

JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned

Yes/No

Whether reportable

Yes/No