



**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

289

CRWP-7463-2024

Date of Decision : **September 17, 2024**

DEVI LAL AND ANR

.....Petitioners

VERSUS

STATE OF HARYANA AND OTHERS

.....Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE KULDEEP TIWARI

Present : Mr. Rajesh Kumar Bhagel, Advocate for
Mr. Sunil Kumar Nehra, Advocate
for the petitioners.

Mr. Abhinash Jain, DAG, Haryana.

KULDEEP TIWARI, J. (Oral)

1. Through the instant petition filed under Article 226 of the Constitution of India read with Section 528 of the Bharatiya Nagarik Suraksha Sanhita, 2023, challenge is thrown to the impugned order dated 9.8.2023 on the ground that the cases of the petitioners are fully covered under the apt policy applicable to them despite that their cases have been wrongly rejected.
2. The learned State counsel at the very outset submits that infact now the petitioners have been granted the relief of parole for 17 days vide order dated 7.6.2024 and after completion of the period of parole, the petitioners have been granted the relief of interim bail vide Administrative order dated 4.9.2024 and the cases of the petitioners have been sent for reconsideration by the State Level Committee.



CRWP-7463-2024

-2-

3. Since the cases of the petitioners have been sent for reconsideration by the appropriate competent authority and the petitioners have been granted the relief of interim regular bail in the meanwhile, therefore, the legality of the earlier order which is impugned before this Court, is not required to be adjudicated. The instant petition is **disposed** of accordingly.

4. It is expected that the competent authority would take appropriate decision regarding the plea made by the present petitioners for pre-mature release under the apt policy within 3 months from today.

September 17, 2024
ajay-1

(KULDEEP TIWARI)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned. : Yes/No
Whether Reportable. : Yes/No