

**CRWP-7090-2024****-1-**

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA  
AT CHANDIGARH**

**109****CRWP-7090-2024**

Date of Decision:- 25.07.2024

**Raj and Anr.**

....Petitioners

Vs.

**State of Haryana and Ors.**

...Respondents

**CORAM:-HON'BLE MRS. JUSTICE AMARJOT BHATTI**

Present:- Mr. Bhisam Singh, Advocate for  
Mr. Ashish Grewal, Advocate for petitioners.

Mr. Rupinder Singh Jhand, Addl. A.G., Haryana.

\*\*\*\*

**AMARJOT BHATTI, J.**

1. Petitioners Raj and Abhishek have filed present petition under Article 226 of the Constitution of India for issuance of a writ in the nature of mandamus directing respondents No. 2 & 3 to protect life and liberty of petitioners from the hands of respondents No. 4 & 5.

2. It is submitted that both petitioners are major. Petitioner No.1 is aged about 19 years, copy of her Aadhar Card is Annexure P-1. Petitioner No. 2 is aged about 26 years, copy of his Aadhar Card is Annexure P-2. They had performed marriage on 19.07.2024. Copy of Certificate of Marriage is Annexure P-3 and marriage photographs are Annexure P-4. Petitioners also moved representation to Superintendent of Police, District Karnal, which is Annexure P-5. Petitioners had performed marriage without consent and wishes of their family. Respondents No.4 & 5 are relatives of petitioner No.1 from whom, petitioners are receiving threats.

**CRWP-7090-2024****-2-**

3. Notice of motion.

4. On asking of this Court, Mr. Rupinder Singh Jhand, Addl. A.G., Haryana accepts notice on behalf of State. Complete copy of paperbook along with supporting documents is already supplied.

5. Considering nature of litigation, no purpose would be served by serving notice to private respondents.

6. I have considered the facts narrated in petition, according to which both petitioners are major and they have performed marriage against wishes of family of both petitioners, as a result they are apprehending threats from the hands of respondents No. 4 & 5. It is duty of police to protect life and liberty of petitioners. Therefore, respondents No. 2 and 3 are directed to consider representation of petitioners dated 19.07.2024 (Annexure P-5) and protect life and liberty of petitioners from the hands of respondents No. 4 & 5, considering threat perception with further direction to maintain their privacy and dignity.

7. Aforesaid protection order will have no bearing on legality and validity of marriage and it will not protect them in case there is any violation of law or pending legal proceedings.

8. Petition is accordingly disposed of.

9. Pending miscellaneous application(s), if any, stand disposed of accordingly as well.

**25.07.2024***Sunil Devi***(AMARJOT BHATTI)  
JUDGE**

Whether speaking/reasoned:  
Whether reportable:

Yes/No  
Yes/No