



IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

126

CWP-17155-2024

Date of decision: 24.07.2024

ANIL KUMAR AND OTHERS

...PETITIONERS

Vs.

STATE OF PUNJAB AND OTHERS

...RESPONDENTS

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE JAGMOHAN BANSAL

Present: Mr. Nitesh Singla, Advocate
for the petitioners.

Mr. Aman Dhir, DAG, Punjab.

JAGMOHAN BANSAL, J (ORAL)

1. The petitioners through instant petition under Articles 226/227 of the Constitution of India are seeking direction to the respondents to count the service rendered by them as Special Police Officer for qualifying service for the purpose of pensionary/retiral benefits.
2. Learned counsel for the petitioners *inter alia* contends that case of the petitioners is squarely covered by Division Bench judgment dated 15.02.2018 (Annexure P-5) of this Court in ***Sukhjeet Singh and others v. The State of Punjab, CWP No.9936 of 2017.***
3. Mr. Aman Dhir, Deputy Advocate General, Punjab who on advance notice is present in Court, submits that in terms of judgment of Division Bench of this Court in ***Sukhjeet Singh (supra)***, the respondent-authorities in the case of various officials have considered their claim and passed orders. He further asserts that appropriate authority would consider case of the

petitioners in terms of judgment of Division Bench of this Court in *Sukhjeet Singh (supra)* and pass an appropriate order within three months from today.

4. In the wake of statement made by learned State counsel, the present petition stands disposed of.

24.07.2024
manoj

[JAGMOHAN BANSAL]
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned
Whether reportable

Yes/No
Yes/No

MANOJ KUMAR
2024.07.24 15:57
I attest to the accuracy and
integrity of this document