

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH

(232)

CWP-22770-2018

Date of decision: - 20.02.2024

Sinder Pal

....Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana and others

.....Respondents

CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE VIKAS BAHL

Present:- Mr. Ved Parkash, Advocate, for the petitioner.

Ms. Palika Monga, DAG, Haryana.

VIKAS BAHL, J. (ORAL)

1. This is a petition under Article 226/227 of the Constitution of India for the issuance of a writ in the nature of certiorari for setting aside the impugned order dated 03.07.2018 (Annexure P-2) and for issuance of a writ in the nature of mandamus directing the respondents to stay the departmental inquiry till the pendency of the criminal trial.
2. On 08.02.2024, this Court was pleased to pass the following order: -

“Learned State counsel has submitted that the petitioner has already been convicted in the criminal case on 07.06.2022 and thus, the present petition has been rendered infructuous.

Learned counsel for the petitioner prays for a short adjournment to get instructions in the matter.

Adjourned to 20.02.2024.

To be taken up at 12:00 noon.

It is made clear that no further adjournment would be granted.

(VIKAS BAHL)
JUDGE

February 08, 2024”

3. Learned counsel for the petitioner has very fairly submitted that the petitioner has been convicted in the criminal case, thus, he seeks to withdraw the present writ petition with liberty to take up all the points, which are available to him, during the departmental proceedings.

4. In view of the above statement of learned counsel for the petitioner, the present writ petition is dismissed as withdrawn, with the aforesaid liberty.

February 20, 2024
naresh.k

(VIKAS BAHL)
JUDGE

Whether reasoned/speaking?	Yes/No
Whether reportable?	Yes/No