



**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

1. CWP No.22661 of 2018 (O&M)

.....Petitioner
VERSUS

.....Respondent(s)

2. CWP No. 22331 of 2019 (O&M)

.....Petitioner
VERSUS

.....Respondent(s)

Present: Mr. Kapil Kakkar, Advocate for the petitioner(s).

Mr. Arun Gupta, DAG Punjab.

HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI, J. (Oral)

Present set of two writ petitions involve common question of law in the background of common set of facts and thus they are being decided by a common order.

However, for deciding the petitioner facts are being taken from CWP No.22661 of 2018.

After arguing for some time, learned counsel for the petitioner(s) submits that the grievance raised by the petitioner(s) have already been raised in the representation dated 22.06.2018, copy of which has been appended as Annexure P-9, which is still a pending consideration with the respondents and the respondents be directed to decide the same by passing an appropriate speaking order.

Learned counsel for the respondents submits that in case the representation (Annexure P-9) dated 22.06.2018 has been received in the concerned office, an appropriate speaking order on the same would be passed by respondents within a period of 8 weeks of the receipt of copy of this order. Learned counsel further submits that in case, it is found feasible to accept the claim of the petitioner(s), the same will be accepted, otherwise due reasons will be mentioned for not accepting the claim of the petitioner(s) in the speaking order to be passed, which will be conveyed to them.

Learned counsel for the petitioner(s) submits that keeping in view the statement of the learned counsel for the respondents, present petition may kindly be disposed of as having been not pressed any further.

Ordered accordingly.

Pending application, if any, also stands disposed of.

Photocopy of this order be placed on the file of other connected case.

09-09-2024
Sapna Goyal

(HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI)
JUDGE

NOTE: Whether speaking : YES/NO
Whether reportable: YES/NO