

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH

(245)

CWP-19329-2022

Date of decision: - 15.02.2024

Pawan Kumar

....Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana and others

.....Respondents

CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE VIKAS BAHL

Present:- Mr. Ravi Sharma, Advocate, for the petitioner.

Mr. Amandeep Joshi, DAG, Haryana.

VIKAS BAHL, J. (ORAL)

1. This is a petition under Article 226/227 of the Constitution of India for the issuance of a writ in the nature of mandamus directing the respondents to treat the petitioner on duty as Constable as per his entitlement after granting him all consequential benefits of seniority.
2. Learned counsel for the petitioner has submitted that inadvertently, the order dated 26.06.2000 (Annexure P-9) has not been challenged, thus, the petitioner seeks to withdraw the present writ petition with liberty to institute an appropriate proceeding/petition to challenge the said order.
3. Dismissed as withdrawn, with the aforesaid liberty.
4. It is made clear that in case any such proceeding/petition is instituted by the petitioner, then, all the issues including the issues of maintainability and delay would be considered, in accordance with law.

(VIKAS BAHL)
JUDGE

February 15, 2024
naresh.k

Whether reasoned/speaking?	Yes/No
Whether reportable?	Yes/No