



235 IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
CHANDIGARH

CRM-M-35206-2024 (O&M)
Date of Decision: 09.09.2024

GURPREET SINGH ALIAS PEETU

...Petitioner

V/S

STATE OF PUNJAB AND OTHERS

...Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE HARPREET SINGH BRAR

Present: Mr. Vishal Sharma, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr. Rishabh Singla, AAG Punjab.

Mr. Robin Kumar, Advocate for
Mr. Sourabh Singla, Advocate for respondents No. 2 and 3.

HARPREET SINGH BRAR J. (Oral)

1. This petition has been filed under Section 528 of Bharatiya Nagarik Suraksha Sanhita, 2023 seeking quashing of FIR No.24 dated 15.03.2024 under Sections 363/366-A of IPC registered at Police Station Meharban, District Ludhiana, Punjab (Annexure P-1) along with all subsequent proceedings arising therefrom on the basis of compromise deed dated 21.07.2024 (Annexure P-2).

2. The following order was passed on 07.08.2024:

“This petition has been filed under Section 528 of Bharatiya Nagarik Suraksha Sanhita, 2023 seeking quashing of FIR No.24 dated 15.03.2024 under Sections 363/366-A of IPC registered at Police Station Meharban, District Ludhiana, Punjab (Annexure P-1) along with all subsequent proceedings arising therefrom on the basis of compromise deed dated 21.07.2024 (Annexure P-2).

Learned counsel for the petitioner inter alia contends that the petitioner and respondent No.3 fell in love with each other and their relationship was opposed to respondent No.2 and as such, the FIR (supra) was registered. Thereafter, the petitioner and



respondent No.3 have performed marriage and they approached this Court by filing CRWP-2663-2024 which was disposed of vide order dated 20.03.2024 (Annexure P-4). The petitioner and respondent No.3 are cohabiting together as husband and wife, as such, no offence as mentioned in the FIR (supra) has taken place. Further, the compromise has been effected between the parties.

Notice of motion for 09.09.2024.

At this stage, on the asking of the Court, Mr. Subhash Godara, Addl.A.G., Punjab accepts notice on behalf of respondent No.1-State and Mr. G.S. Gill, Advocate for Mr. Saurabh Singla, Advocate accepts notice for respondents No.2 & 3 and files his vakalatnama and admits to the factum of compromise. Copy of the paper book be supplied to them during the course of day.

Service is complete.

In the meanwhile, the parties are directed to appear before the learned trial Court/Illaq Magistrate within two weeks from today or any other date convenient to the trial Court/Illaq Magistrate, to get their statements recorded regarding compromise and after recording their statements, learned trial Court/Illaq Magistrate is directed to send report regarding the genuineness of compromise and also to intimate whether any PO proceedings are pending against any of the party on or before the date fixed i.e. 09.09.2024.

A copy of the order be sent to learned trial Court/Illaq Magistrate through fax for compliance.”

3. In compliance of the aforesaid order, a report has been received from the concerned jurisdictional Court that the compromise between the parties is genuine and arrived at without any pressure or coercion from anyone.

4. In view of the compromise and the ratio of law laid down by the Hon’ble Supreme Court in **Narinder Singh and others vs. State of Punjab and another, (2014) 6 SCC 466, Ramgopal and another Vs. State of Madhya Pradesh 2021 SCC OnLine SC 834 and Shakuntala Sawhney (Mrs) Vs.**



CRM-M-35206-2024 (O&M)

-3-

in **Kulwinder Singh Vs. State of Punjab 2007 (3) RCR (CrI.) 1052**, this petition is allowed and FIR No.24 dated 15.03.2024 under Sections 363/366-A of IPC registered at Police Station Meharban, District Ludhiana, Punjab (Annexure P-1) and all subsequent proceedings arising out of the same are quashed, qua the petitioner.

09.09.2024
Ajay Goswami

(HARPREET SINGH BRAR)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned
Whether reportable

Yes/No
Yes/No