



IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH

238

CWP-27437 of 2016(O&M)
Date of Decision:20.05.2024

ABHEY SINGH

.... Petitioner

Versus

PRESIDING OFFICER, INDUSTRIAL TRIBUNAL-CUM-
LABOUR COURT-I, GURGAON AND ANR

....Respondents

CORAM:HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SANJAY VASHISTH

Present: Mr. B.K. Bagri, Advocate for the petitioner.

Mr. Ravi Mishra, Advocate for
Mr. Munish Kumar Garg, Advocate
for respondent No.2.

SANJAY VASHISTH, J.(Oral)

1. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that as per the information received by him, petitioner-workman has already expired and despite of writing two registered letters, no one has contacted him for moving an appropriate application for bringing on record the legal representatives of the deceased-Abhey Singh, thus, pleads no instructions.

2. In these circumstances, this Court is unable to proceed further for the purpose of hearing of the present petition and left with no other option except to dismiss the appeal for want of prosecution.

Therefore, the present petition is dismissed for want of prosecution.

3. However, liberty is granted to the petitioner to move an appropriate application for seeking revival of the present writ petition with the preparation to argue the matter within twelve weeks from today, in case any substantive issue still exists alongwith a cause of action to the petitioner.

May 20, 2024
rashmi

Whether speaking/reasoned
Whether reportable?

[SANJAY VASHISTH]
JUDGE
yes/no
yes/no