



IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH

CWP No.20806 of 2021 (O&M)
Date of decision: 28.08.2024

Sukhm Infrastructure Private Limited and another
....Petitioners
Versus

Real Estate Regulatory Authority, Punjab and others
....Respondents
AND

CWP No.19781 of 2021 (O&M)

Sukhm Infrastructure Private Limited and another
....Petitioners
Versus

Real Estate Regulatory Authority, Punjab and others
....Respondents
AND

CWP No.20787 of 2021 (O&M)

Sukhm Infrastructure Private Limited and another
....Petitioners
Versus

Real Estate Regulatory Authority, Punjab and others
....Respondents
AND

CWP No.20750 of 2021 (O&M)

Sukhm Infrastructure Private Limited and another
....Petitioners
Versus

Real Estate Regulatory Authority, Punjab and others
....Respondents
AND

CWP No.20812 of 2021 (O&M)

Sukhm Infrastructure Private Limited and another
....Petitioners

**Versus****Real Estate Regulatory Authority, Punjab and others****....Respondents****CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ARUN PALLI
HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE VIKRAM AGGARWAL****Present:** Mr. Ishaan Singh Kakar, Advocate
and Mr. Kunal Thapa, Advocate
for the petitioners.Mr. P.S.Bajwa, Advocate
for respondent-RERA.Mr. Vipin Kumar, Advocate
for respondent no.3.

VIKRAM AGGARWAL, J.

1. By way of the instant order, we proposed to dispose of the afore-titled writ petitions, for the question raised in all the writ petitions is identical. The facts are being derived from CWP No. 20806 of 2021.

2. Challenge in the writ petition is to order dated 14.07.2020 (Annexure P-1) passed by the Chairperson of respondent no.1 on the ground that the Chairperson did not have the jurisdiction to pass the said order as the Coram was not complete.

3. Learned counsel for the parties concede that the issue is no longer *res integra* as the same stands settled by the decision of the Supreme Court of India in the case of ***Newtech Promoters and Developers Private Limited Vs. State of U.T and others, 2022 (1) RCR (Civil) 357.***

4. It is, therefore, submitted that nothing substantive survives in these writ petitions and the same be accordingly disposed of as having been rendered infructuous.



In view of the statement given by learned counsel for the parties and the ratio laid down by the Supreme Court of India in *Newtech Promoters and Developers Private Limited Vs. State of U.T and others (supra)*, the present writ petitions are disposed of as having been rendered infructuous.

(ARUN PALLI)
JUDGE

(VIKRAM AGGARWAL)
JUDGE

August 28, 2024
Rekha

Whether speaking/reasoned	Yes/No
Whether reportable	Yes/No