

2024.PHHC.115482



230.

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

CRWP-6967-2024

Date of decision: 03.09.2024

Angrej Singh

.... Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab and others

.... Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE GURBIR SINGH

Present: Ms. Satinder Kaur, Advocate, for the petitioner.

Ms. Avneet, AAG, Punjab.

GURBIR SINGH, J.(Oral)

1. By way of present petition, the petitioner, who is convict under Section 22 of NDPS Act, has sought parole of eight weeks so as to meet and look after his family and to settle his household affairs.

2. Pursuant to order dated 23.07.2024, reply by way of affidavit dated 30.08.2024 of Heena Gupta, PPS, Deputy Superintendent of Police, Rural Bathinda, on behalf of respondents No.4 and 6, has been filed in Court today, which is taken on record. It has been submitted that as per record, petitioner has been granted parole for 9 times and during that period, he remained peaceful and not indulged in any illegal activity.

2.1 Short reply by way of affidavit dated 02.09.2024 of ND Negi, Superintendent, Central Jail, Bathinda, on behalf of respondents No.1, 2, 3

and 5, has also been filed in Court today, which is taken on record. It has been submitted that the request of the petitioner for grant of parole for 8 weeks has been approved and the same is now pending for furnishing surety bonds on behalf of the petitioner.

3. In view of above, since the request of the petitioner has already been considered and approved, the present petition has become infructuous and disposed of as such.

4. It is observed that though the petitioner has been granted parole, but it is after filing of this petition. The respondents must be aware that they should act in accordance with law and should not wait that persons should come to this Court to seek direction to the government officials/officers to act in accordance with law.

(GURBIR SINGH)
JUDGE

September 03, 2024
sanjeev

Whether speaking/reasoned: Yes/No
Whether reportable: Yes/No