

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH

130

COCP-2418-2024 (O& M)

Date of decision: 22.07.2024

NARENDER KUMAR

...Petitioner

Versus

SATYAWAN MALIK AND ANR

...Respondents

CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE RAJBIR SEHRAWAT

Present:- Mr. Dalbir Singh, Advocate,
for the petitioner.

RAJBIR SEHRAWAT, J. (Oral)CM-12215-CII-2024

1. Allowed as prayed for.


COCP-2418-2024

1. This is a contempt petition filed under Sections 11 and 12 of the Contempt of Courts Act, 1971, for punishing the respondents for disobeying the order dated 05.02.2020 passed in CWP-3215-2020.

2. Notice of motion.

3. Mr. Pawan Kumar Longia, DAG, Haryana, and Mr. Harkesh Kumar, AAG, Haryana, accept notice on behalf of the respondents.

4. Counsel for the respondents have submitted that after the order qua which the contempt is claimed in the present petition, the respondents had issued a notice to the petitioner questioning the validity of his Ph.D. Degree. The said action of the respondents was challenged by the petitioner through CWP-1916-2023, wherein this Court had passed an order for considering and deciding his representation. However, that order has not been disclosed by the petitioner in the present petition.



5. Otherwise, the respondents have considered the representation filed by the petitioner and have passed the speaking order dated 28.06.2024, whereby the case of the petitioner has been rejected. Copy of the said order has also been supplied to the petitioner. However, the said order has not even been challenged by the petitioner so far.
6. In view of the above, this Court does not find any ground to interfere by way of the present petition.
7. Dismissed.
8. However, if any grievance of the petitioner is left unredressed, he would be at liberty to raise the same in any other alternate remedy, but in accordance with law.

22.07.2024
parveen kumar

(RAJBIR SEHRAWAT)
JUDGE

Whether reasoned/speaking?	Yes/No
Whether reportable?	Yes/No