



211 IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

CRM-M-34840-2024
Date of Decision: 23.09.2024

Ashok and others ...Petitioner
vs.
State of Haryana ...Respondent

Coram : Hon’ble Mr. Justice N.S.Shekhawat

Present : Mr. Hamid Hussan, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Ms. Harpreet Kaur, AAG, Haryana.

Ms. Kamlesh, Advocate for
Mr. Parminder Singh, Advocate, for the complainant.

N.S.Shekhawat J. (Oral)

1. The petitioner has filed the present petition under Section 438 Cr.P.C. with a prayer to grant anticipatory bail to them in case FIR No.72 dated 09.05.2024, registered under Sections 448, 457, 380, 34 of IPC, at Police Station Sadar, Panipat.
2. While granting the concession of interim anticipatory bail on 06.08.2024, this Court had noticed the following contentions:-

“The learned counsel for the petitioners inter alia contends that it is primarily a dispute of civil nature and two of the co-accused, namely, Sandeep and Sonu have already been arrested and some recoveries have been effected from them. Therefore, the custodial interrogation of the petitioners is not required.”



- 3. Learned counsel for the petitioners has reiterated the above submissions.
- 4. Learned State counsel has vehemently opposed the submissions made by learned counsel for the petitioners.
- 5. I have heard learned counsel for the parties and perused the record.
- 6. Without commenting on the merits of the case, the present petition is allowed and the interim order dated 06.08.2024, passed by a Co-ordinate Bench of this Court is made absolute. The petitioners shall continue to join investigation, as and when called by the Investigating Officer and shall also abide by the conditions as provided under Section 438(2) of the Cr.P.C.

23.09.2024.
hemlata

(N.S.SHEKHAWAT)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes/No
Whether reportable : Yes/No