



CWP-19186-2020 (O&M)

-1-

230

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

CWP-19186-2020 (O&M)
Date of Decision: 29.07.2024

Sube Singh

..... Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana and another

..... Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE JASGURPREET SINGH PURI

Present: Mr. Karan Bhardwaj, Advocate for
Mr. Sandeep Thakan, Advocate,
for the petitioner.

Mr. Kapil Bansal, DAG, Haryana.

Mr. Nilesh Bhardwaj, Advocate,
for respondent No.2.

JASGURPREET SINGH PURI, J. (ORAL)

1. The present writ petition has been filed under Article 226/227 of the Constitution of India for issuance of a writ in the nature of *mandamus* directing the respondents to step up the pay scale of the petitioner w.e.f. 01.07.1997 equal to Sh. Ram Tirath, who is Junior to the petitioner in view of the instructions dated 12.09.2018 and release all the consequential benefits accrued to him.

2. At the outset, learned counsel for respondent No.2 submitted that the prayer of the petitioner is for seeking step up of his pay scale at par with his junior, namely, Ram Tirath. He submitted that now the benefit granted to the aforesaid Ram Tirath has also been withdrawn and therefore, nothing survives in the present petition.

3. On the other hand, learned counsel appearing on behalf of the



petitioner submitted that although the benefit has been withdrawn from the aforesaid Ram Tirath with whom the petitioner is seeking parity but the respondents have also granted similar benefits to six more people, who are junior to the petitioner.

4. At this stage, learned counsel for respondent No.2 stated that in case the petitioner has any other such grievance apart from the subject matter of the present petition pertaining to some other persons, then in case, the petitioner files any representation before respondent No.2 in this regard, then respondent No.2 will consider and decide the same in accordance with law and will pass a speaking order on the same after hearing the petitioner.

5. Learned counsel for the petitioner submitted that in view of the aforesaid statement made by learned counsel for respondent No.2 that the representation, if any, filed with regard to any other persons, then the same will be considered, the present petition may be disposed of accordingly but some time framework may be fixed in this regard.

6. In view of the aforesaid statements made by both the learned counsels for the parties, the present petition is disposed of.

7. In the event of the petitioner filing any representation to respondent No.2 within a period of one month from today, the same shall be considered and decided by respondent No.2 strictly in accordance with law after giving an adequate opportunity of hearing to the petitioner by passing a speaking order on the same within a period of four months thereafter.

29.07.2024

(JASGURPREET SINGH PURI)

Bhumika

JUDGE

1. Whether speaking/reasoned: Yes/No
2. Whether reportable: Yes/No