



CWP-16768-2024

-1-

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

124

CWP-16768-2024

Date of Decision: 22.07.2024

Sukhpal Singh and others

...Petitioners

Versus

State of Punjab and others

...Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE JAGMOHAN BANSAL

Present: - Mr. J.P.S. Sidhu, Advocate for the petitioners

Mr. Aman Dhir, Deputy Advocate General, Punjab

Ms. Sehej Sandhawalia, Advocate for respondent Nos.2 to 4

JAGMOHAN BANSAL, J. (Oral)

1. The petitioners through instant petition under Articles 226/227 of the Constitution of India are seeking setting aside of orders dated 15.07.2024 (Annexure P-1 to P-6) whereby they have been repatriated to their parent department i.e. Punjab Armed Police, Bahadurgarh, Patiala.

2. Mr. J.P.S. Sidhu, Advocate submits that impugned order is punitive and stigmatic in nature, thus, needs to be set aside.

3. Notice of motion.

4. Mr. Aman Dhir, Deputy Advocate General, Punjab and Ms. Sehej Sandhawalia, Advocate who on advance notice are present in Court,

**CWP-16768-2024****-2-**

accept notice on behalf of respondent-State & respondent No.2 to 4, respectively and waive service.

5. With the consent of all parties, the matter is taken up for final adjudication.

6. Mr. J.P.S. Sidhu, Advocate submits that grievance of the petitioners would be redressed, if this Court observes that impugned orders are neither punitive nor stigmatic in nature.

7. Mr. Aman Dhir, Deputy Advocate General, Punjab and Ms. Sehej Sandhawalia, Advocate does not oppose the aforesaid oral prayer of the petitioners.

8. In the wake of statement of both sides, the present petition stands disposed of. It is hereby declared that impugned orders dated 15.07.2024 (Annexure P-1 to P-6) shall neither be treated as punitive nor stigmatic in nature.

(JAGMOHAN BANSAL)
JUDGE

22.07.2024*Mohit Kumar*

Whether speaking/reasoned	Yes/No
Whether reportable	Yes/No