



IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

119

FAO-3883-2023(O&M)

Decided on:11.11.2024

Rahul Manchanda

... Appellant

Versus

Rajinder Kaur and others

... Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE VIKAS BAHL

Present: Mr. Ravi Dangi, Advocate for
Mr. Subhash Kumar, Advocate
for the appellant.

Mr. P. S. Bhandari, AAG, Punjab.

VIKAS BAHL, J.(ORAL)


1. On 21.11.2023, a Coordinate Bench of this Court was pleased
to pass the following order:-

*“Present: Mr. Subhash Kumar, Advocate
for the appellant.*

Mr. Vinay Kumar Gupta, DAG, Punjab.

*The counsel for the appellant/owner of the
offending vehicle inter alia contends that the accident took
place on 11th June, 2020 and at that time it was post
lockdown period and still the COVID-19 infection was
prevalent in entire country.*

*The counsel for the appellant further submits
that the vehicle in question was insured with Oriental
Insurance Company but unfortunately the insurance period
expired on 30th March, 2020 and at that time there was
complete lock down in the country and as such the
appellant failed to renew the insurance policy and
subsequently the accident in question took place on*



11.06.2020 and even at that time the offices of Insurance company were not fully operational.

On query being put to the counsel for the appellant as to when after 30th March, 2020 the insurance of the vehicle in question was renewed by its owner, he prays for time to seek necessary instructions in this regard.

Now be listed on 30.01.2024.

21.11.2023”

2. On 03.04.2024, a Coordinate Bench of this Court was pleased to pass the following order:-

“Learned counsel appearing on behalf of the appellant seeks some more time to comply with the order date 21.11.2023.

At request, adjourned to 31.07.2024.

Needful be done on or before the next date of hearing.”

3. On 31.07.2024, again an adjournment was sought.
4. Today again, an adjournment has been sought as the earlier orders have not been complied with.
5. In view of the same, present appeal is dismissed for non-prosecution.
6. Liberty is granted to the appellant to revive the appeal after complying with the earlier orders.

(VIKAS BAHL)
JUDGE

11.11.2024

Mehak

Whether reasoned/speaking?
Whether reportable?

Yes/~~No~~
~~Yes~~/No