

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

205

CWP-21576-2018 (O&M)
Date of decision: 09.04.2024

Virender Singh Rana and others

...Petitioners

VERSUS

State of Haryana and others

...Respondents

CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE VINOD S. BHARDWAJ

Present :- Mr. Sanjiv Gupta, Advocate for the petitioners.

Mr. Pankaj Mulwani, DAG Haryana
for respondents No.1, 2 and 3.

None for respondent No.4.

Mr. Lalit Thakur, Advocate for respondent No.5.

VINOD S. BHARDWAJ, J. (Oral)

1. The petitioners had approached this Court in the year 2018 raising a challenge to the order supplied on 23.08.2018 (Annexure P-14) passed by the Returning Officer whereby the names of the petitioner had been deleted from the voter list. Consequential prayer has also been made for issuance of directions to the respondents to include the names of the petitioners along with 150 other workmen in the list of voters for the scheduled election to the Managing Committee of the HMT Employees Cooperative Consumers' Society, Pinjore, or in the alternate to keep the election in abeyance.

2. Notice in the present case was issued on 28.08.2018 and vide

order dated 10.09.2018, the election was ordered to be kept in abeyance after noticing the contentions of the learned counsel for the petitioners that the petitioners were denied from participating in the elections on the ground that the Factory had been closed in terms of provisions of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947 and that they had no relation as employees with the Tractor Unit.

3. Learned counsel for respondent No.5 contends that the present petition has been rendered infructuous since the grievance espoused was only in relation to the elections to respondent No.4-Society and the same has not been re-registered or registered afresh after the Notification of the Haryana Registration and Regulation of Societies Act, 2012. Hence, respondent No.4-Society does not subsist any further. It is thus contended that the present writ has been rendered infructuous.

4. Learned counsel for the petitioners however pleads ignorance and he is not in a capacity to dispute the claim made by the learned counsel for respondent No.5.

5. The present writ petition is accordingly **disposed of as having been rendered infructuous.**

6. Liberty is however granted to the petitioners to seek revival of the present petition in case the Society is surviving and their grievance still exists.

(VINOD S. BHARDWAJ)
JUDGE

09.04.2024
Mangal Singh

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes/No
Whether reportable : Yes/No