



CWP-20009-2024

-1-

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

108

CWP-20009-2024

Date of Decision :22.08.2024

Inder Jit

...Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab and others

...Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI

Present: Mr. Vikas Kumar Gupta, Advocate for the petitioner.

Mr. T.P.S. Chawla, Senior DAG, Punjab
assisted by Ms. Lalita Rani, D.E.O (Secondary Education),
District Hoshiarpur.

* * *

Harsimran Singh Sethi, J. (Oral)

In the present petition, challenge is to order dated 02.07.2024 (Annexure-P/10), by which, the claim of the petitioner for reimbursement of the medical bills in respect of the amount spent by him on the treatment of his son, has been declined by the respondents.

Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that without verifying the actual facts, claim of the petitioner has been declined on the ground that wife of the son of the petitioner is working whereas, wife of the son of the petitioner has been working only since 2020 and the medical bills in question pertain to the period prior to the appointment of his wife in a private school. Learned counsel for the petitioner further submits that wrong rules have been made applicable to the claim of the petitioner by the respondents to deny the benefit to the petitioner.

Notice of motion.



CWP-20009-2024

-2-

On the asking of the Court, Mr. T.P.S. Chawla, Senior DAG, Punjab, who is present in Court, accepts notice on behalf of the respondent-State.

Learned counsel for the respondents submits that he has instructions that impugned order dated 02.07.2024 be treated as withdrawn but liberty be given to the respondents to pass appropriate fresh order on the issue in question by taking into consideration all the relevant facts as well as rules governing the service on the aspect in question, which speaking order will be passed by the respondents within a period of eight weeks from the date of the receipt of certified copy of this order and in case, it is found feasible to accept the claim of the petitioner, the same will be extended to him otherwise due reasons for not accepting the claim of the petitioner will be mentioned in the speaking order so passed, which will be conveyed to the petitioner.

Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that keeping in view the statement of the learned counsel for the respondents, the present petition may kindly be disposed of having been not pressed any further.

Ordered accordingly.

August 22, 2024
aarti

(HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes/No
Whether reportable : Yes/No