

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

214 CWP-23191-2017 (O&M)
Date of Decision :30.04.2024

Rajpal ...Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana and othersRespondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI

Present: Mr. R.L. Gupta, Advocate for the petitioner.

Ms. Vibha Tewari, AAG, Haryana.

* * *

Harsimran Singh Sethi, J. (Oral)

Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that in LPA-31-2024, a direction has been given by the Division Bench of this Court to the respondent-State to pass a fresh order and not to the Single Judge but the present petition is listed by misreading the order dated 05.01.2024 passed by the Division Bench in the said LPA-31-2024.

Learned counsel for the respondents does not dispute the said factual aspect.

Keeping in view the above, no further orders are required to be passed by this Court in the present petition.

Registry is required to do the needful as directed by Division Bench of this Court.

April 30, 2024 (HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI)
aarti JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes/No

Whether reportable : Yes/No