



CWP-17186-2024

1

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

(117)

CWP-17186-2024

Date of Decision : July 24, 2024

Kuljit Singh and others

.. Petitioners

Versus

Guru Nanak Dev University and another

.. Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI

Present: Ms. Geetika, Advocate for
Mr. Rishu Garg, Advocate, for the petitioners.

Mr. M.K. Dogra, Advocate, for the respondents.

HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI J. (ORAL)

1. In the present writ petition, the grievance of the petitioners is that they are working on temporary basis for the last 17 years and despite the fact that in the year 2011, regularization policy was issued by the respondent-State, which was adopted by the University also, but the claim of the petitioners for regularization of their services has never been considered, which is causing prejudice to them.

2. Learned counsel for the petitioners submits that as per the judgment of the Hon'ble Supreme Court of India in *State of Punjab and others vs. Jagjit Singh and others 2016 (4) SCT 641*, the petitioners are entitled for minimum of the pay scale even while working on temporary post, which benefit is also not extended by the respondent-University, which is also causing prejudice to the petitioners hence, the respondents are liable to be directed not only to regularize the services of the petitioners w.e.f. the year

2011 but also pay the minimum of the pay scale as envisaged in *Jagjit Singh's*

**CWP-17186-2024****2**

case (supra) along with arrears from the date the petitioners are discharging the duties.

3. Notice of motion.

4. Mr. M.K. Dogra, Advocate, who is present in the Court, accepts notice on behalf of the respondents.

5. Learned counsel for the respondents submits that though the present writ petition has been filed but before filing the present petition, no claim was raised before the authorities concerned and in case, any comprehensive representation is filed by the petitioners raising all the pleas, as raised in the present petition, appropriate order on the claim of the petitioners will be passed within a period of eight weeks of the receipt of any such claim and in case, after examining, it is found that the petitioners are found entitled for any relief, the same will be extended to them otherwise due reasons will be mentioned in the speaking order to be passed for not accepting the claim of the petitioners for their information and necessary action.

6. Learned counsel for the petitioners submits that keeping in view the statement of learned counsel for the respondents, the present writ petition may kindly be disposed of having been not pressed any further with liberty to the petitioners to file appropriate representation with the respondents.

7. Ordered accordingly.

July 24, 2024
harsha

(HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes/No
Whether reportable : Yes/No