



IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH

213
COC-2246-2021 (O & M)
Date of decision: 30.07.2024

KULDEEP SINGH AND ANOTHER ...Petitioners

Versus

RAMAN SUBRAMANIAN ...Respondents

CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE RAJBIR SEHRAWAT

Present:- Mr. Jaideep Verma, Advocate, for the petitioners.

Dr. Anmol Rattan Sidhu, Sr. Advocate with
Ms. Mandeep Kaur, Advocate, Mr. Kamal Gupta, Advocate
and Mr. Sandeep Kumar, Advocate, for the respondent.

Mr. Vishnav Gandhi, DAG, Punjab.

RAJBIR SEHRAWAT, J. (Oral)

1. This is a contempt petition filed under Sections 10 and 12 of the Contempt of Courts Act, 1971, read with Article 215 of the Constitution of India, for punishing the respondent for disobeying the order dated 27.09.2018 passed in CWP-24792-2018.
2. Learned Senior Counsel for the respondent submits that the plot has already been allotted to the petitioners and they have already given affidavit that they were satisfied with the plot allotted to them. Vernacular copy of letter dated 26.07.2024 qua that effect, has also been produced by the counsel for the respondent in the Court today. The same is taken on record. Registry is directed to scan and tag it at an appropriate place.
3. In view of the above, the present petition is rendered infructuous and dismissed, as such.
4. However, if any grievance of the petitioners is left unredressed, they would be at liberty to raise the same in any other alternate remedy, but in accordance with law.

30.07.2024
parveen kumar

(RAJBIR SEHRAWAT)
JUDGE

Whether reasoned/speaking? Yes/No
Whether reportable? Yes/No