

246
CRM-A-250-2022
PARVEEN KUMAR SANDHU
VS
ASHOK DUTT AND OTHERS

Present:- Mr. Varun Sharma, Advocate for
Mr. Anil Kumar Sharma, Advocate
for the applicant-appellant.
Mr. Munish Gupta, Advocate for respondents no. 1 to 7 and 9 &
10.

CRM-A-250-2022

As per the report of the Registry, respondent no.8 has expired. His death certificate has been annexed with the file.

Counsel appearing for the applicant submits that the present application was filed against acquittal in the year 2021 and he has a very good case on merit.

Counsel for the respondents submits that the judgement of acquittal is well reasoned and leave to appeal should not be granted. He further submits that in case, this Court grants leave to appeal and admit the main appeal, its turn will not come for hearing for decades, as such pendency of the appeal may be treated inconsequential for the respondent.

Leave to appeal granted.

Counsel for the respondents submits that he be exempted from furnishing bail bonds/surety bonds before the concerned trial Court or Chief Judicial Magistrate. He undertakes to surrender before the majesty of Court as and when this Court directed to do so or in case of reversal of the judgement.

Given such undertaking, there shall be no need to furnish bail bonds/surety bonds by the respondent.

Main appeal

Admit.

List for final hearing on its own turn as per its queue following the roster of NI Act.

It is clarified that the pendency of this appeal shall not be construed against the accused-respondent, in any manner, whatsoever.

(ANOOP CHITKARA)
JUDGE