

**CRWP-6864-2024**

-1-

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

108**CRWP-6864-2024**

Date of Decision:- 22.07.2024

Manjot Kaur and Anr.

....Petitioners

Vs.

State of Punjab and Ors.

...Respondents

CORAM:-HON'BLE MRS. JUSTICE AMARJOT BHATTI

Present:- Mr. Chahit Bansal, Advocate for petitioners.

Mr. Kewal Singh, Addl. A.G., Punjab.

AMARJOT BHATTI, J.

1. Petitioners Manjot Kaur and Husanpreet Singh have filed present petition under Article 226 of the Constitution of India for directing respondents No. 2 & 3 to protect life and liberty of petitioners from the hands of respondents No. 4 to 9.

2. It is submitted that both petitioners are major. Petitioner No.1 is aged about 24 years, copy of her Aadhar Card is Annexure P-1. Petitioner No. 2 is aged about 25 years, copy of his Aadhar Card is Annexure P-2. They had performed marriage on 16.07.2024. Copy of Certificate of Marriage is Annexure P-3. Petitioners also moved representation to Senior Superintendent of Police, District Bathinda, which is Annexure P-4. Petitioners had performed marriage without consent and wishes of their parents. Respondents No.4 to 9 are parents/relatives of petitioner No.1 & 2 from whom, petitioners are receiving threats.

**CRWP-6864-2024****-2-**

3. Notice of motion.

4. On asking of this Court, Mr. Kewal Singh, Addl. A.G., Punjab accepts notice on behalf of State. Complete copy of paperbook along with supporting documents is already supplied.

5. Considering nature of litigation, no purpose would be served by serving notice to private respondents.

6. I have considered the facts narrated in petition, according to which both petitioners are major and they have performed marriage against wishes of family of both petitioners, as a result they are apprehending threats from the hands of respondents No. 4 to 9. It is duty of police to protect life and liberty of petitioners. Therefore, respondents No. 2 and 3 are directed to consider representation of petitioners dated 16.07.2024 (Annexure P-4) and protect life and liberty of petitioners from the hands of respondents No. 4 to 9, considering threat perception with further direction to maintain their privacy and dignity.

7. Aforesaid protection order will have no bearing on legality and validity of marriage and it will not protect them in case there is any violation of law or pending legal proceedings.

8. Petition is accordingly disposed of.

9. Pending miscellaneous application(s), if any, stand disposed of accordingly as well.

22.07.2024*Sunil Devi***(AMARJOT BHATTI)
JUDGE**

Whether speaking/reasoned:
Whether reportable:

Yes/No
Yes/No