



122

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT  
CHANDIGARH

CRM-M-36105-2024 (O&M)  
Date of decision: 30.07.2024

Sandeep Shonik

... Petitioner

Vs.

Pooja Bakshi

... Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE HARPREET SINGH BRAR

Present: Petitioner in person.

\*\*\*\*\*

HARPREET SINGH BRAR, J. (ORAL)

1. The present petition has been filed under Section 528 of Bharatiya Nagarik Suraksha Sanhita, 2023 seeking quashing of complaint bearing No.CompDVAct/119/2020 dated 25.08.2020 filed under Section 12 of the Protection of Women from Domestic Violence Act, 2005 (for short 'the Act'), pending in the Court of Ms. Chinu Sharma, JMIC, Chandigarh and all the subsequent proceedings arising therefrom.

2. In view of the settled law pronounced by the Hon'ble Supreme Court in *Kunappareddy Vs. Kunappareddy Swarna Kumari, (2016) 11 SCC 774*, Full Bench of Madras High Court in *Arul Daniel and others Vs. Suganya, 2022 SCC Online Mad 5435* and by the Co-ordinate Bench of this



Court in *Jaspal Kaur alias Pinki and others Vs. State of Punjab and others* in *CRM-M-19553-2023* decided on 24.04.2023, the provisions of the Code of Criminal Procedure, 1973 cannot be invoked to challenge proceedings emerging out of the Act.

3. Faced with this situation, the petitioner, who is appearing in person, wishes to withdraw the present petition and seeks liberty to invoke alternative appropriate remedy on the same cause of action, in accordance with law, for redressal of grievances raised in the present petition.

4. Dismissed as withdrawn with liberty aforesaid.

[ HARPREET SINGH BRAR ]  
JUDGE

30.07.2024  
vishnu

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes/No

Whether reportable : Yes/No