

**CWP-16702-2024****1**

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA  
AT CHANDIGARH**

**(114)****CWP-16702-2024****Date of Decision : July 22, 2024****Navpreet Dhillon****.. Petitioner**

**Versus**

**State of Punjab and others****.. Respondents****CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI**

Present: Mr. K.P.S Sandhu, Advocate, for the petitioner.

Mr. Swapan Shorey, Deputy Advocate General, Punjab.

**HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI J. (ORAL)**

1. In the present writ petition, the grievance being raised by the petitioner is that the petitioner is working as Guest Faculty Teacher with the respondent-State and has already rendered 10 years of service and therefore, the petitioner's claim for regularization of his services should also be considered by the respondents.

2. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the grievance raised in the present writ petition has already been raised by the petitioner through the legal notice dated 18.05.2024 (Annexure P-7) with the respondents and the respondents be directed to decide the said legal notice by passing an appropriate speaking order in a time bound manner.

**CWP-16702-2024****2**

3. Notice of motion.

4. Mr. Swapan Shorey, learned Deputy Advocate General, Punjab, who is present in the Court, accepts notice on behalf of the respondents.

5. Learned counsel for the respondents submits that the legal notice dated 18.05.2024 (Annexure P-7) has not been received in the office of the College and therefore, question of deciding the same does not arise.

6. At this stage, learned counsel for the petitioner submits that another copy of the legal notice will be sent to the authorities concerned within a period of seven days from today and the respondents be directed to decide the said legal notice by passing an appropriate speaking order.

7. Learned counsel for the respondents submits that in case the legal notice is received within a period of two weeks from today, appropriate order on the same will be passed by the competent authority within a period of eight weeks thereafter and in case, after the decision, any relief is to be extended to the petitioner, the same will be extended, otherwise due reasons will be mentioned in the speaking order to be passed for not accepting the claim of the petitioner for his information and necessary action.

8. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that keeping in view the statement of learned State counsel, the present writ petition may kindly be disposed of having been not pressed any further.

9. Ordered accordingly.

**July 22, 2024***harsha***(HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI)****JUDGE**

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes/No

Whether reportable : Yes/No