

2024:PHHC:139285



**IN THE PUNJAB AND HARYANA HIGH COURT AT
CHANDIGARH.**

209

**CWP-19696-2020 (O&M).
Date of Decision: 23.10.2024.**

CHARANJIT SINGH

... Petitioner(s)

Versus

STATE OF PUNJAB AND OTHERS

... Respondent(s)

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE VINOD S. BHARDWAJ.

Present: Mr. Robin Gill, Advocate, for
Mr. G.S. Brar, Advocate,
for the petitioner.

Ms. Niharika Sharma, AAG, Punjab,
for respondents No.1 to 6.

None for respondents No.7 and 8.

VINOD S. BHARDWAJ, J (ORAL).

Prayer in the present petition is for directing the respondent
No.2-the Director General of Police, Punjab, to conduct an inquiry against
the private respondents who had formed a gang and are indulging into
alluring the innocent people for illegal acts and thereafter, are blackmailing
them.

2024:PHHC:139285



2 Learned counsel appearing for the petitioner contends that the petitioner is an ex-serviceman and retired from BSF and at present is residing at Jalandhar along with his family members. It was alleged that respondents No. 4 to 8 have formed a gang wherein they allure gullible persons, to entice them and thereafter pressurize them to extract money. It is contended that the petitioner had submitted number of complaints, however, when the same were not inquired into, the present petition was filed.

3 Reply by way of affidavit of Waryam Singh, PPS, Assistant Commissioner of Police (West) Jalandhar, dated 18.04.2022 on behalf of respondents No.1 to 3 has been filed. The averments contained in the preliminary submissions read as under:-

“1. That Lakhwinder Kaur wife of Sahib Singh Respondent No.7 had submitted complaint No. JLD-CT 3193426 dated 14/03/2019 through 181 Punjab Police Helpline in which there were allegations of blackmailing & threatening and putting her photographs on social sites and also making her two months pregnant. The said complaint was made against Harleen Singh son of Charanjit Singh i.e. petitioner.

2. That this complaint was got enquired into where both the parties voluntarily compromised the matter and an affidavit was written to this effect.

2024:PHHC:139285



3. That thereafter Charanjit Singh son of Kewal Singh submitted below complaints against the private respondents:-

1	Complaint No.2141-PTM	Dated 09/07/2020
2	Complaint No.770-DGP	Dated 27/07/2020
3	Complaint No.1589-PTM	Dated 20/06/2020
4	Complaint No.643-DGP	Dated 26/06/2020
5	Complaint No.958-DGP	Dated 26/06/2020
6	Complaint No.1203-DGP	Dated 10/10/2020
7	Complaint No.1204-DGP	Dated 10/10/2020

All these complaints were thoroughly got enquired into through ADCP, PBIS (Narcotics), Commissionerate, Jalandhar. During the thorough and deep enquiry the allegations leveled in these complaints by Charanjit Singh-petitioner were not found to be true and the complaints were filed after getting the legal opinion from D.A (Legal), Jalandhar. Now, the petitioner has filed the present petition under Articles 226/227 of Constitution of India for issuance of a Writ in the nature of mandamus directing respondent No. 2 to enquire the matter deeply at its own level and to take action against the private respondents who have allegedly formed a gang and after alluring the innocent persons for illegal acts are blackmailing them, and extorting money from them by issuing threats and false implication.

4. That it is submitted that the various complaints submitted by Charanjit Singh have been thoroughly got enquired into

2024:PHHC:139285



through the ADCP, PBIS (Narcotics) Commissionerate, Jalandhar and since the allegations contained in those complaints were found to be false and not true. Accordingly, those complaints were filed after obtaining the legal opinion from D.A Legal, Jalandhar.”

4 Separate replies on behalf of respondents No.4, 5 and 6 were also filed in the year 2023 wherein similar averments have been made.

5 In spite of aforesaid separate replies having been filed in the year 2022 and 2023 respectively, the petitioner has chosen not to controvert the same by filing any rejoinder/replication, hence, the contents of the replies have remained uncontroverted.

6 It is evident from a perusal of the uncontroverted factual assertions made by the respondent-State that the representations/complaints submitted by the petitioner were thoroughly inquired into by the ADCP, PBIS (Narcotics), Commissionerate, Jalandhar and the same were found to be false. It is also averred that the proceedings were initiated against the petitioner on the complaint submitted by Lakhwinder Kaur wife of Sahab Singh which was settled amongst the parties. It is also evident that as many as seven (07) different complaints were submitted by the petitioner and they all were found to be false and frivolous.

7 In view of the aforesaid, I find that no ground is made out for issuing any further directions to the official respondents and the present

2024:PHHC:139285



petition is accordingly disposed of as having been rendered infructuous at this stage.

October 23, 2024.
raj arora

(VINOD S. BHARDWAJ)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes/No
Whether reportable : Yes/No