

242 IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

CWP-18675-2022 (O&M)
Date of Decision: February 26, 2024

MADHU SHARMA

..... Petitioner

Versus

CANARA BANK AND OTHERS

..... Respondents

**CORAM:- HON'BLE MRS. JUSTICE LISA GILL
HON'BLE MS. JUSTICE AMARJOT BHATTI**

Present: Mr. Sushil Jain, Advocate for the petitioner.

Mr. Nitin Grover, Advocate for respondents No. 1 to 3.

Mr. Deepak Grewal, DAG, Haryana.

LISA GILL, J.

1. Learned counsel for respondent – Bank, on instructions from Mr. Ajay Singh Kadian, Branch Manager, Canara Bank, informs that this writ petition is rendered infructuous as account in question has been settled and closed.

2. Learned counsel for petitioner submits that he does not have any instructions in this matter.

3. Be that as it may, we do not find any justification for continuance of present proceedings, in view of categorical statement by learned counsel for respondent – Bank.

4. Writ petition is, accordingly, disposed of as infructuous with liberty to petitioner to file appropriate application within four weeks in case, any incorrect fact has been set forth before this Court.
5. Pending application(s), if any, stand(s) disposed of.

(LISA GILL)
JUDGE

February 26, 2024
Rts

(AMARJOT BHATTI)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned: Yes/No
Whether reportable: Yes/No