



IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

(213)

CWP No. 25934 of 2016 (O&M)
Date of Decision : 22.10.2024

Punjab State Veterinary Officers Association

...Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab and others

...Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI

Present: Mr. Birinder Singh, Advocate for the petitioner.

Ms. Shruti, Assistant Advocate General, Punjab.

Mr. Ravi Singh, Advocate for respondent No. 3.

Mr. Anshul Labana, Advocate for
Mr. Samrat Malik, Advocate for respondents No. 4 to 7.

Harsimran Singh Sethi J. (Oral)

CM-4012-CWP-2018

Present application has been filed for impleading the applicants
as respondents No. 4 to 7.

Notice of the application was issued.

Learned counsel for the petitioner raises no objection for the
grant of prayer as made in the present application.

Keeping in view the above, the application is allowed and the
applicants are impleaded in the present petition as respondents No. 4 to 7.

CWP-25934-2016

After arguing for some time, learned counsel for the petitioner
submits that with regard to the grievance raised in the present petition, the



petitioner will file an appropriate representation and the respondents be directed to decide the same in a time bound manner so that the petitioner does not suffer any prejudice.

Learned counsel for the State submits that in case, any representation is received at the hands of the petitioner, the same will be decided in accordance with law by passing an appropriate speaking order within a period of eight weeks of the receipt of any such representation and in case, it is found feasible to accept the claim of the petitioner, the same will be accepted, otherwise due reasons will be mentioned in the speaking order, which will be conveyed to the petitioner.

Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that in view of the statement of learned counsel for the State, the present petition may kindly be disposed of having been not pressed any further with liberty to file an appropriate representation.

Ordered accordingly.

Though, the State is to decide the representation but in case, the Veterinary Inspectors, whose designation is sought to be changed, they should also be heard so that no order is passed by the State without hearing the relevant and the necessary parties.

Pending miscellaneous application, if any, also stands disposed of.

October 22, 2024
kanchan

(HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes

Whether reportable : No